NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

10c

Royal Oak, Michigan | August 18, 1941 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

FALL OF SMOLENSK TO RE-MAKE HISTORY

(See Page 3)

U.A.W. Ranks Split Over Plan to Wage Fight on Communism

(See Page 5)

Call Farmers 'Pro-Nazi' For Protest Over A.A.A.

(Story on Page 10)

A Letter to the President on the Right to Rule

COMMENT ON WOLRD EVENTS ★ BUTTER FOR BRITAIN ★ THE RIOT AT FORT KNOX

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

How Times Change!

PRIME MINISTER Winston Churchill, who has allied Great Britain with Communist Russia for a "fight to the death" against Nazi-ism and Fascism, said in January, 1927, after a trip to Italy (according to the London *New Leader):*

"If I had been an Italian I am sure that I should have been wholeheartedly with you (Fascists) from start to finish in your triumphant struggle against the bestial appetites and passions of Leninism.

"I will say a word on an international aspect of fascism. Externally your movement has rendered a service to the whole world.

"She (Italy) has provided the necessary antidote to the Russian poison. Hereafter, no great nation will be unprovided with an ultimate means of protection against cancerous growths."

Fake Bishop

IMPORTANT religious groups, both "in the Soviet Union and other countries," are reported to be united in prayers against Nazi invaders.

According to the Jewish Telegraph Agency of June 30th, Jews in Moscow, Leningrad, Kiev and other cities of the U.S.S.R. joined in prayers for the success of the atheistic Soviet.

Coincidentally, according to a well informed Ukrainian priest, the Jews have sent a fake Ukrainian bishop to hold forth in Philadelphia. This gentleman, we learn, is not consecrated but "knows all the answers" in favor of all-aid to Britain and Soviet Russia.

Bryan O'Rourke Tour

ARRANGEMENTS have been completed for hundreds of vacations from New York, Brooklyn, Long Island, New Jersey, Connecticut and other New England States to be brought on a special week-end rail and water cruise to Detroit and Royal Oak, to attend services at the Shrine of the Little Flower on Sunday, August 31.

The Bryan O'Rourke travel party will also have an opportunity to meet Father Coughlin and to congratulate the beloved "Priest of the Radio" on his Silver Jubilee. The arrival and departure of this tour is so arranged as to permit attending a special mass on Sunday morning, celebrated by Father Coughlin and also Benediction in the afternoon.

After mass visitors will have an opportunity to be shown around the Shrine and have the symbolism of the magnificent edifice explained in detail. Following this, they will visit the outdoor grotto erected on the site of the famous little Shrine which burned five years ago. The outdoor stations of the cross are on the exact site of those in the little church, as is the altar, communion rail, etc. The little garden and lily pool cover the site of the sanctuary where Father Coughlin delivered his first broadcasts to shut-ins, the children's hour, and the first of the famous discourses.

The rate of $24.50 includes round-trip rail and steamer fare, stateroom on lake steamer, breakfast in Buffalo, luncheon at Niagara Falls, motor coach trip between Buffalo and the falls, motor coaches from steamer to Detroit and the Shrine, and taxi transfer from pier to railroad station in Buffalo on the return.

For reservations on this pilgrimage apply to Bryan O'Rourke, 1807 Westchester Ave., Bronx, New York. (Phone Westchester 7-1731, 7-1371) or Erie railroad company, 12 West 51st street, New York City, Rockefeller Center.

Buffalo Bus Trip

THE Economics League of Buffalo, N. Y., will sponsor a Buffalo Day at the Shrine of the Little Flower on Sunday, September 7th.

The party will leave Buffalo by chartered buses about 12:15 (midnight), arriving in Detroit at 8 a.m. A stop will be made for breakfast and the party will reach the Shrine about 9 a.m.

Rev. Michael J. Fitzgerald, pastor of Blessed Sacrament Church, Kenmore, N. Y., will be chaplain of the excursion. Father Fitzgerald will arrange to say a low mass upon the party's arrival at the Shrine.

The round-trip fare of $4.40 is based on filling the buses to capacity, 30 persons, so early reservations are necessary. Contact Jos. H. Stoffel, 98 Comstock Ave., telephone Pa. 0734.

Pittsburgh Tour

Pittsburgh district friends of Father Coughlin will conduct their fourth annual rail and lake cruise to the Shrine of the Little Flower over the Labor Day week-end; leaving Pittsburgh via the P. & L. E. road at 5:25 p.m. Saturday, Aug. 30, and returning to Pittsburgh Labor Day, Sept. 1st. All-expense rates start at $14.75. Reservations may be made with A. J. Staud, phone HEmlock 8307; Mrs. Lou Scott, EVerglade 5366; Mrs. John Cleary, MAyflower 5752; L. P. Welte, WAlnut 4001; or J. E. O'Connor, CArrick 4112-J

This Army Draft Doesn't Make Pete Any Cooler

HELLO, FOLKS:

Now, *don't step on that greese* spot y' see on th' floor in front uv ya, 'cause that's me—Pete. Yep, that's jus' 'bout all that's left uv me.

I'd jus' 'bout made up my mind I wuzzent gonna talk 'bout th' wether, but that seem's t' be all that's safe t' menshun these days. Who c'n get inta a argeymunt 'bout that? Ev'rbuddy'll agree that it's as hot as—well—it's hot! 'N I'll get after ennybuddy who ses t' me jus' *once* more—"it isunt th' heet, it's th' hewmiduty." I don't kare what is th' cause uv my mizry—I don't want it analeyezed f'r me—jus' call it good ol' Middle-West heet 'n let it go at that.

I s'pose I shuddun't komplane all th' time—but reely, it's all I've got t' komplane about. Ev'rybuddy else 'round here, it seems, has jus' skads uv stuff t' make 'em unkumfertuble 'r unhappy 'sides jus' th' wether.

* * *

Take th' giruls, f'r instance—they are all up in th' air 'bout th' silk stockin' qwestyun. Reely, folks, that's got them up in arms—what will they do, what will they do? Now why they're werreed 'bout it, I'll never tell y'—I don't care what they put on their stems 'n I'll bet nobuddy but themselves will, either. Seems t' me, from what I've observed, that they'd be further ahead if they did hafta wear th' ol' cotton hose. They've always been gaspin' 'n almost dyin' ev'ry time they had a "run" 'n now they're gonna be gaspin 'n almost dyin' 'cause they won't have 'em! Wimmin!

* * *

Now take th' boys in th' kamps 'round 'n about this here kuntry uv ours—they've got somethin' t' be unhappy 'bout. They don't know where they stand—not that they're 'lowed t' stand much, 'ceptin' at 'tenshun—they've got somethin' t' be up in th' air 'bout. Golly, it seems a shame t' me that so many nice boys hafta be taken outta their good homes 'n jobs f' longer'n a year. Lookin' at th sitchuashun from where I am—down here on th' floor—it seem that there's been an awful lot u finnaglin' 'n ineffishuncy some where along th' line 'r it wuddun be nesussary t' keep 'em in train ing camps f'r more'n a year.

'Course, I'm only a G. D. (Grea Dane) dog 'n not too bright a on at that, I guess, but th' boys mus be bright enuf t' lern th' art u war 'n how t' defend themselve inside uv twelve months. Othe wise, what's th' use uv all t skools 'n intelligunce tests 'n stuff Even a flea c'n lern a few trick inside uv a year. I dunno—mayb I'm wrong, but th' Selektees 're t ones that are gettin' what's bee called a royal runaround, gyp somethin' mighty similar.

Remember—that's how it look t' me—Pete. I give a harty bark growl in protest—y'd better d th' same. Th' fellas need help fro th' folks at home now—a littl later might be too late.

What's th' use of havin' th' righ of patishun if y' don't use it? Don sit back 'n say, "Well, what c'n do? I'm only one unimportun person."

Well, if that's th' way th' ma joruty uv Americans look at thing they might jus' as well give t good old U.S.A. back t' th' Indian —'r th' British. They'd git it awa from th' Indians ennyway, so would save th' Indians trubbul we jus' handed ourselves bac lock, stock 'n barrul, right over t Johnny Bull. (P. S.—Maybe w have.)

But, it's much too hot t' g hotter under th' kollar than I a alredy.

Talkin' 'bout trubbuls — Deadline Daddy has 'em too. He gotta get out this ishoo 'n I holdin' up th 'proceedjer with m harang 'bout th' draft.

Well, I'll breeze along now, try t' kreate a draft that we c'n enjoy.

See y' later, folks. —Pet

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

***Simplification* of Government and lower taxes.**

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE National Weekly, Copyrighted 1941 by the Social Justice Publishing Company, publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 8 August 18, 1941 No. 7

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

History-Making Battle of Smolensk

ACCORDING to report, the German High Command — before the Russo - German war — predicted that it would take seven weeks for Nazi arms to overwhelm the Soviet.

The seven weeks have now elapsed, following the greatest battle fought in the history of the world — the battle of Smolensk.

Both Germany and Russia maintained that 9-million men were engaged in battle in that sector. The attack on the part of the Germans consisted in a series of encirclements which sometimes found German troops shooting towards Berlin and Russian troops facing Moscow. For more than three weeks this gargantuan contest continued unabated, both sides claiming success after success.

Eventually, the *DNB* (German News Bureau) issued a startling *communique* to the world. In so far as *DNB* has proven to be substantially correct in its claims, it is reasonable to accept the conclusion that the results of Smolensk have turned out favorably for Nazi Germany.

The figures are startling. More than 3-million Russian dead; more than 800-thousand prisoners; more than 20-thousand tanks, army lories and conveyances lost by Soviet Russia are concrete evidence that Hitler's forces have smashed the backbone of Josef Stalin's Soviet hordes.

From the moment Great Britain declared war against Germany — and despite the *Russo-German pact* — Hitler's chief fear was Russia, not Britain. All during his European campaign, military prudence compelled Hitler to keep more than 100 divisions in readiness for any eventuality which might arise on the Eastern front because, from the outset, Stalin was preparing to attack Germany at the most propitious moment.

The battle of Smolensk, now practically over, spells the end of Moscow and Kiev and wins for Germany the wheat land of the rich Ukraine and the oil land of Baku. Secondly, it opens a clear road for Nazi panzer troops to proceed southeast in an encirclement movement against the British and, eventually, their holdings in Syria and the Suez Canal.

North of Smolensk, the Russian-Finnish forces are pounding at the gates of Leningrad whose capture or destruction is imminent.

From Leningrad to Odessa, passing through Moscow, the major portion of Russia's industrial and cereal lands have been lost to Stalin.

Despite his pledge that he will not sign a seperate peace, Stalin will be forced to retire beyond the Ural mountains or make separate peace with Germany.

If the Red leader chooses to hibernate East of the Urals, he will be confronted with the problem of maintaining an ill-equipped, ill-fed army on one hand and a fifth columnized Russia on the other.

Although Stalin's forces proved more redoubtable than did all other Europeans combined in their opposition to German panzer divisions, it is noted that in the seven weeks now elapsed, German arms, aided by Finnish, Roumanian, Latvian and Esthonian arms, freed from Russian domination more territory than the Germans won in Europe through actual battle!

* * *

Meanwhile, British arms covered themselves with no glory.

While Hitler was busied strafing Stalin, the best opportunity for combatting Germany which will be presented to Churchill in his lifetime was disregarded.

Then was the occasion for a well-organized British force, supported by the redoubtable British navy to invade the channel ports. Hundreds of Hitler's divisions were thousands of miles distant on the Russian front. Thousands of Hitler's airplanes were engaged in battle over Leningrad, Moscow, Smolensk and Kiev.

Despite this obvious reduction of German power in Europe proper, Churchill and his aides failed to strike an offensive blow.

This fact is revealing. It proves beyond doubt that Britain is content to fight a defensive war; that Britain is incapable of fighting an offensive European war; that Britain's hope for victory has evaporated despite all the propaganda which has been fed to American ears.

* * *

Within a month it is expected that many divisions of Nazi troops now engaged in Russia will be returned to Western Europe. Thousands of planes will be free to participate in the final struggle of the Battle of England. The month of September should stress the most sanguinary air fighting ever recorded when the British and German air forces clash in a fight to the death.

Meanwhile, the war front is expanded to the Orient. Day by day, Japan continues piling troops into French-Indo China, the back door of British India. Day by day, both Britain and the United States are amalgamating their resources to halt the Nipponese from pursuing their military and naval plans whose ultimate tactical objective is Singapore.

Meanwhile, the all-aid for Britain program evolved by Mr. Roosevelt is lagging in the doldrums of inefficiency. Last week, 14,000 Atlantic seaboard ship builders went on strike in New Jersey. American airplane production for July continued to amble along at no greater speed than was recorded for the month of June. While many millions of dollars of military needs have been ferried across to England, our chief exports, up to date, have been promises and advice — neither of which will prove effective to save England.

The fact of the matter is that Germany has made tremendous advances in the past two months while Britain has suffered tremendous losses through inactivity.

Two months ago, Japan was not in this war as she is at present. Two months ago, the morale in the United States favoring an all-out war against the Nazis was superior to what we find at the moment. Today, because of the Administration's attitude towards lengthening the time of service for draftees, American morale for an all-out war has declined appreciably.

Meanwhile, peace rumors are beginning to find expression over British airways. Many Englishmen who are realists recognize the futility of continuing a war whose most sanguine outcome can be nothing more than a military "draw," and a social and economic defeat for the English, whose resources have been drained almost to the vanishing point.

As it is, due to confiscatory taxation, no Englishman now finds it possible to make more than $20,000 a year; and every English wage earner is compelled to surrender at least half his pay envelop in the cause of a national defense which has degenerated into a defense against superior forces.

The controlled news releases fed to American readers relative to Germany, Russia and England have not been convincing. No great victories have been reported. Airplane forays on the part of the British were the only attempt made to harrass the enemy.

What can British troops hope to achieve in India where 300-million slaves are unorganized and more or less hostile?

How can British propaganda hope to cry down the Japanese who have on their side all the positive elements of appeal — blood, religion and freedom?

* * *

The battle of Smolensk was the crucial battle for Hitler. Had he lost that, he would have been forced to sue for terms of peace. Having won it, ultimate victory for Britain seems further away than ever.

(Continued on Page 4)

Index to Comment

The History-Making Fall of Smolensk ____ Page 3
The Russian-Polish Agreement ____ Page 4
If War, U. S. Will Have to Fight It; Cost of War ____ Page 5
Editorials: Officer of Reserve Bank Speaks; The Four Freedoms and British Bunk: 'Be Pope When We Want You' ____ Page 6
Riot at Fort Knox; Army Camps ____ Page 7
U. S. Trusts Russia; Uncle Sam and Uncle Joe ____ Page 8
U.A.W. to Fight Communists? ____ Page 9
Asking for Revolution: A.A.A. Gyps Farmers, Then Calls Them 'Pro-Nazi'; Butter for Britain ____ Page 10
A Letter to the President—No. 3 ____ Page 12
La Guardia Cohorts Assail New York Irish ____ Page 13
McMahon of Notre Dame; A Letter to Bishop Hurley ____ Page 14
Let Them Reconcile Their Views; Arch-Bishop Beckman Attacked ____ Page 15
Anti-Semitism in Industry; Shall Russians Pray to God or Stalin? ____ Page 18
Mark Twain Up-to-Date ____ Page 20

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

As for the cause of Communism, one can reasonably conclude that it is walking its last mile in Europe.

True, Marxism, by no means is dead from the Baltic to the Mediterranean.

But the policies implemented by Lenin, Trotzky, Stalin and the entire crew of anti-Godites went into a tailspin with the Red star airplanes over Smolensk.

Call Hitler's ideology "fascistic," or "socialistic," or whatever term one cares to employ, nevertheless, one is inclined to conclude that some development of that ideology in the fields of economics, finance, commerce and statecraft will develop into maturity in Europe from now on.

While the leaders of Fascism and Nazi-ism have hobbled religious activities in every sector over which they gained dominion, yet they have shown no inclination *to persecute religion out of existence* as did the Communists.

And this gives us hope that, out of the vortex of change, will rise the spirit of ancient Christianity — a spirit which eventually will mollify and direct the activities of the successful rebels stemming from Rome and Berlin.

Meanwhile, it is evident that Communism — by name — will receive a just chastisement in America. While this is so, Communism, in ideal, will not be outlawed in our land, because the same *provocateurs,* the same *generalissimos,* and the same activists in the ranks of anti-Godism, retain their positions of prominence, as is indicated by the Russo-American pact.

* * *

As for international capitalism, the battle of Smolensk has administered to it a *coup de grace* as far as Europe, at least, is concerned.

No longer will privateers find it possible to ferry gold from capital to capital, to regulate upswings and downswings in business and commerce, to gain control over subservient governments, and to pit one people against another — as far as Europe is concerned.

And by taking Europe out of the field of international capitalism, its activities will be impeded indefinitely in America.

For the time being, Asia and a portion of South America have been eliminated from its field of activities. More and more the international capitalist who profits on using gold and debt as the sole base for finance, will be restricted. Formerly, it was possible for him, by transferring his hoard from one country to another, to wax fat on his international victims. Now, he is finding himself more or less akin to the python who, in desperation and starvation, is forced to swallow his own tail.

As important as were the battles of the Netherlands, France and Greece, it is probable that historians will recognize that the battle of Smolensk will overshadow all of them in its world-wide and epoch-making results.

The Russian-Polish Agreement

IN THE first few days of the Russo-German war, that part of Poland previously occupied through Soviet seizure was taken by Germany.

Following this incident, the Polish government in exile under General Wladislas Sikorski, negotiated an agreement with Soviet Russia.

There was no unanimity on the part of the Poles for this agreement. Polish Foreign Minister August Zaleski resigned because he opposed persistently any steps for bringing Soviet Russia and Poland together in a pact which subordinated Poland to the U.S.S.R.

According to the pact, 300,000 Polish prisoners in Russia will be freed from concentration camps; a Polish army in Russia will be organized; and Russian officers will dominate the destinies of this Polish army.

This agreement, be it noted, was signed at London at the British Foreign Office between General Sikorski and Ivan M. Maisky, Soviet Ambassador to Great Britain. Present were Prime Minister Churchill and Foreign Minister, Anthony Eden.

Comment on this diplomatic debacle is unnecessary.

Sikorski — advertised as a pre-eminent Catholic — has seen fit to co-operate with the Communists, contrary to the expressed words of Pius XI.

While the Poles have little or no love for the Nazis, they have less to fear from the latter than from the godless Russians.

But that is all beside the point; for the objective in the life of Poland is independence — an independence which is now waived in favor of the Sikorski pact with the Kremlin.

Should Russia overwhelm Germany through British, American and Polish aid, the results, unquestionably, would be the Stalinization of Europe — and of England.

* * *

To achieve a temporal end it is not permitted to co-operate with the devil. It is granted that his Infernal Majesty can be instrumental in bringing temporal prosperity and temporal success to any earthling who unconscionably sells his soul for a mess of pottage. Nevertheless, the wages of sin is death. Death to Britain, death to America and death to Poland for accepting the Mephistophelian aid tendered by the brimstone hand of Josef Stalin.

It appears, in our generation, that the ancient, Biblical policy of serving God rather than serving man, has been cashiered by the naturalistic exponents of materialistic capitalism.

The *Catholic Times* of South Africa (June, 1941) concisely remarks that "Poland must be saved from its friends as well as from its enemies . . . She can never belong to Russia nor to Germany . . . Nor for that matter to the British Commonwealth . . . Now the danger lies precisely in the fact that the friends of Poland . . . are beginning to announce, tentatively, that the future world order will have place only for greater groups of mankind. We believe that such a state would be not only a mistake, but also a tragedy of the first order."

The able Christian editor of the *Catholic Times,* writing from South Africa and under the British flag, has hit the nail on the head. Poland will not be Poland if she is subservient to godless Russia and material-minded Britain.

In view of these remarks, Sikorski has committed a greater crime against his country than has any Pole in the history of that nation.

Judas Iscariot-like — unconsciously — he has sold Catholic Poland to the Russians who hate religion; he has traded free Poland to the Russian and British governments which have exploited her people.

The Polish-Russian pact, which subordinates Catholic Poland to atheistic Russia, is indicative of the trend in the modern world. Catholics have been told to condemn Naziism, first, because of its material concept of life, and, second, because of its totalitarian concept of society.

Catholics, as a rule, are also adverse to accepting the international concept of life, propagated by Britain, which is reflected in the theory that small nations must vanish and an international hierarchy must govern.

Recently, Sumner Welles, Under-Secretary of State, is reported to have advocated the adoption of the Pope's peace plan.

While this high official mouthed recommendations for the acceptance of the Holy Pontiff's proposals, nevertheless, his concrete suggestions were not in line with supra-nationalism as much as they were in harmony with internationalism.

It was wish thinking on the part of certain Catholic editors and news services which prompted them to say that Welles favored the Pope's peace. The Under-Secretary did not even mention the Holy Father's peace plan which basically was identified with supra-natural theology.

On the contrary, Welles said:

"No peace which may be made in the future would be valid or lasting unless it established fully and adequately the natural rights of all peoples to equal economic enjoyment."

He added:

"Some adequate instrumentality must be found to achieve adjustments when the nations of the earth again undertake the task of restoring law and order to a disastrously shaken world."

And we interpret the above words from sentiments previously expressed by Mr. Welles, he is in line with a new naturalistic League of Nations over which an international super-government will preside.

* * *

The danger in our present world is not that we are tending to a life of the whole directed by a supra-national spiritual concept which we express by the words, "one God, one faith and one baptism."

The danger is that we are moving to its very antithesis as expressed either by the totalitarian State in Germany or the internationalistic government hinted at by British union-nowism.

As for the totalitarian State, we believe that families do not exist for the State; we believe that individuals do not exist for the State; we believe that corporations do not exist for the State; we believe that institutions (educational, financial, etc.) do not exist for the State.

It is our expresesd belief that the State exists for families and individuals; that corporations exist for families and individuals; that institutions exist for families

(Continued on Page 13)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

If War, We Will Have to Fight It!

WHILE many interventionists in the United States scoff at isolationist fears that American participation in the war would mean another American expeditionary force sent to fight and die on European battlefields, two high British authorities themselves have said another A.E.F. will be necessary.

Such war-mongers as Navy Secretary Knox seek to minimize the war effort that would be required of this nation should we enter the conflict, declaring that we can turn the tide of battle by "sweeping the Nazis off the seas" with our Navy.

Even some of the most sanguine interventionists shy away from references to an A.E.F., for they realize the American people are bitterly opposed to sending their boys to fight on foreign soil.

War-mongering strategy is to "play down" this necessity of an expeditionary force and "play up" the strength of our Navy. After the people have been hoodwinked into going to war on the premise that a few naval skirmishes would spell Hitler's doom, it will become "unpatriotic" to resist the dispatching of troops overseas.

Once in war, we should have to approve unquestioned all measures deemed necessary to achieve victory. If those included an A.E.F. — well, it would just be something that was "unforeseen."

But is it unforeseen?

Generals Archibald P. Wavell and Claude Auchinleck, two of Great Britain's highest ranking army officers, said recently that Britain must have the aid of American troops in Europe in order to defeat Hitler.

* * *

According to Harold Denny, *New York Times* correspondent who interviewed both men, he concluded from his conversations with them that "if America is to adopt a status of active belligerency she must prepare at once to wage war on the Continent of Europe in a manner compared with which the American Expeditionary Force of 1917-18 was a picnic!"

American soldiers would not be called upon merely to *assist* Britain, as in the last war, but would be forced by circumstances *to undertake invasion of the Continent alone,* is Denny's opinion.

"If America enters the war in the near future," the writer said, "she must be prepared not only to enter on a grand scale, but quite possibly bear the brunt of it.

"This time, by all probabilities, the United States would not go into an existing battle line as it did in the World War. Quite possibly, the United States would have to make its own landing somewhere in the Eastern Hemisphere for, as General Auchinleck said, and General Wavell indicated, the war can really be won only in Europe and in Germany — unless the Soviet Army cripples the Germany Army to its vitals."

Britain's necessity for maintaining a huge army in England to ward off a German invasion attempt precludes the dispatch of large numbers of British troops to the Continent, Mr. Denny reported; and, therefore, *invasion of Europe would be left almost entirely to the U.S.A.!*

* * *

Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana, who read the Denny dispatch to the Senate, declared that the interventionist *New York Times* "apparently *did not print this article written by its own correspondent.*"

The story was published, however, by the isolationist *Chicago Tribune,* which subscribes to the *Times'* foreign service.

Without stretching the rules of logic it can reasonably be assumed that Generals Wavell and Auchinleck have given the tip-off on what America can expect in Europe.

It is their opinion that another A.E.F. will be necessary to defeat Hitler.

Possibly, the military men spoke without the knowledge or approval of the politician, Mr. Churchill, for their words certainly do not support the interventionist argument that America can enter the conflict and defeat Hitler without sending another A.E.F. to Europe.

It is also possible that their remarks were the manifestation of a concerted propaganda campaign to overcome American abhorrence of the thought of another A.E.F.

Whatever the circumstances of their frank statements, they have wittingly or unwittingly revealed the British strategy for winning the war — that is, "let Uncle Sap do it."

Impossible Promise

AFTER speaking before a group gathered in the Hotel Biltmore, New York, Lord Halifax, the British Ambassador, was asked by a reporter:

"You spoke of the value of the human soul and you promised Ghandi independence to India, and to set the date if they would give full support to England in this hour of her dilemma. When is that date?"

Halifax replied, according to the *New York Times:* "We have not made the date, and it will never be possible to make the date."

The Cost of War

IT IS difficult to appreciate the cost of war computed in billions of dollars, for the average man, accustomed to reading about billions in governmental expenditures, has little actual conception of how much $1-billion is.

By taking an isolated, single item of war expense, however, the figure is more easily grasped.

For example: consider one machine gun crew and assume that it fires a .50 calibre gun continuously for one hour. The gun shoots 600 bullets a minute or 36,000 an hour. According to A. R. Glancy, chief of the Ordnance Section of the O.P.M., the cost of ammunition for one hour of firing would be $5,015.

A breakdown of that cost shows that 22 per cent of it goes for labor to produce the ammunition. Thus, $1,103 of the $5,015 would be paid to workers in some ammunition plant. Assume that the employes in the plant were paid 87 cents an hour, the mean average at the present time for munitions workers. At that rate 1,268 man hours would be necessary to produce enough shells to keep the machine gun firing one hour.

In addition, more workers would be employed in mines extracting ore for making steel, copper, zinc, etc., more workers in the smelters and refineries, more for transporting the raw and refined ores, more for making the machines, dies, and tools with which to produce the bullets. It is, therefore, conservative to estimate that at least as many men would be required to produce, process, and transport these materials as would be necessary to fabricate them into bullets.

In short, it would require approximately 2,500 men working steadily to keep the machine gun firing steadily. Multiply this by the number of machine guns in operation during a large scale battle and you have a rough idea of the enormous quantity of materials, money and manpower involved in merely one little phase of the destruction business.

Costs of operating machine guns, however, are not to be compared with those of anti-aircraft guns. Three-inch anti-aircrafts shoot 25 rounds a minute while other types shoot as much as 100 rounds. Since one round costs $22.37, the cost of firing a battery of 3-inch anti-aircraft guns for one hour would be about $134,000. Applying the same standards of labor costs and labor ratios as used in the case of machine guns, we find that 68,000 man hours would be required to keep an anti-aircraft battery of 3-inchers firing one hour.

* * *

These two illustrations should suffice to convey some idea of the cost of war. Multiply these figures by hundreds of thousands and add the costs of other equipment, such as tanks, rifles, cannon, gun carriers, armored cars, railway mounts, searchlights, airplane detectors, bombing planes, fighter planes, scout planes, battleships, destroyers, aircraft carriers, submarines, torpedo boats and myriads of other war weapons and accessories.

Then you have merely the initial cost, or the expense of putting an adequate armed force into action. When the destruction begins, and continues over a period of three or four war years, the cost of replacing and augmenting these materials reaches astronomical heights.

The productivity and energy of the entire nation would have to be concentrated on munitions and war weapons, which are not only non-productive but destructive of real property and actual wealth.

No one can estimate accurately how many billions of dollars would be required to finance American participation in the present war. But whatever the cost, one thing is certain — it will be borne by you and your children as American taxpayers.

More importantly, it will be *fought* by you and your children!

Your sons, brothers, husbands and friends would be the ones blown to bits, gored by bayonets, or maimed for life. *No* price can be set upon those "incidentals" of war.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Officer of Reserve Banks Speaks

MARRINER ECCLES, Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, told the Senate Committee investigating defense that the legal prohibitions hedging the Federal Reserve's making of direct loans to business is an impediment.

In expounding his views Mr. Eccles paid a compliment to the totalitarian economy of Nazi Germany. Reading with approval an excerpt from the *London Economist* which held that nothing, during a war, should be decided on financial grounds, Mr. Eccles said:

"Today, we do not measure a battleship in terms of whether we can afford it. We no longer ask 'where are you going to get the money?' We've learned that wealth is manpower and raw materials. We have learned this much from Hitler, as well, that money is a handmaiden, a mechanism that is created, and not wealth in itself."

For more than ten years, Father Coughlin has been expressing this identical view. And he was called a "crackpot."

The greatest crackpots in the country were those who held to the old-line view that money is wealth; to the old-line view that private institutions could issue money — even ten times more of it — against the assets in gold, property or bonds they owned.

As a matter of fact, money is any material that is stamped by the Government.

As a matter of fact, money is a receipt for wealth.

As a matter of fact, money — not only in a crisis but at all times — should be issued by the Government to meet the needs of the nation and should be predicated against the wealth and the productivity of the nation.

The Four Freedoms and British Bunk

ENGLAND, following Mr. Roosevelt's pronouncement, is fighting for the four freedoms supposedly so popular in democracies.

And our Government is ready to fight on an all-out aid basis for Great Britain.

One of the four freedoms is that of religious worship. We believe that a man should be free to worship God according to the dictates of his conscience. And we assert that that freedom has practically disappeared in large sections of Europe in recent years and disappeared in England centuries ago.

Now, while there is no religious persecution in England, there is no freedom of worship.

For example, there is no freedom of conscience for the King of England. By law, he must be a non-Catholic.

When the Danish Queen Anne, wife of James I, lay dying she called for a priest of the Roman Catholic Church. History tells us the priest was forbidden entrance into the royal palace of Britain.

James II of England became a Catholic and was driven from the throne because of it.

George VI, the present incumbent in Great Britain, may not become a Catholic and must be a subscriber to the iniquitous legislation which proscribes Catholics holding his high office in the British Empire.

There are 20-million Catholics in the United States who do not swallow the bunk of President Roosevelt's four freedoms.

'Be Pope When We Want You'

THE Jesuit Magazine, *The Rock* of Hong Kong, China, contributes an editorial which bears the title of this comment:

" 'No Popery in Politics!' has ever been Caesar's cry: the intolerance of the Imperial Caesars is clearly marked out by the blood-stained route of the Christian martyrs; their modern dictatorial successors wield the same sword. The Heralds of the Reformation were in hearty agreement with the Caesars: indeed, they went further. There were Caesars ready to ignore a 'kindly old gentleman' as long as he interested himself only in questions of grace, or faith, or church-going which were valueless in Caesar's treasury as they were meaningless in his vision of things. Caesar has always been deeply concerned with things; when people came between him and things, of old he mowed them down, today he bombs them abroad, at home he liquidates them.

"The Reformation prophets could have no forbearance for Popes: 'the ghost of the Roman Empire seated on the tomb of the Caesars!' A ghost can clothe himself with very unpleasant reality, the trappings of unwanted truth; and the arm of a live Caesar can, at times, be a welcome support to a self-constituted prophet in his mission of compulsion.

"More than once, in these pages, we have met the strange query of those who, though normally they have no time for the Pope, and never take the trouble to read any of the masterful *Encyclicals* issued by him, yet somehow, when they can see some personal gain in the Pope's intervention, unashamedly turn round to him with the challenge: 'Be Pope When We Want You!' For what else is the meaning of the question repeated so often these times: 'Why Doesn't the Pope Condemn . . . ?' "

It is also impudence on the part of the British to appeal to the Pope whose spiritual power they unseated to sustain the lusts of Henry VIII.

It is ridiculous when American journalists and inner politicians champion the Pope's statements about Germany, Jews and what not, and despise the Pope's pronouncements on peace, life and the spiritual kingdom.

We are told that a priest's place is in the pulpit. It is in the pulpit when a priest rubs the political fur the wrong way. But it is in the gutter when a priest or a bishop happens to rub the fur the right way.

The Pope is a handy man to have around for naturalistic warmongers.

In 1919 Pope Benedict XV was ostracized from the Peace Conference of Versailles because his peace plans were not acceptable to the *clique* of subversives aided and abetted in their negotiations by Lord Halifax, chief propagandist for Britain and the United States.

At that time Benedict XV stretched out his hands for a negotiated peace but stretched them in vain.

The Pope today is no different than the Pope yesterday. The world uses him as a handy man, calling on him and his words only to sustain what the world esteems to be advantageous for the world

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Riot at Fort Knox!

FOR some time there has been dissatisfaction in Army camps. This has been aggravated recently when the draftees learned that the period of one year's training likely will be doubled by act of Congress.

Most of the draftees regarded Mr. Roosevelt's one-year plan as a virtual promise — a promise now about to be broken.

Riots were bound to break out in the camps. Of all places for disorder to occur, it was Fort Knox, Kentucky, that led off the parade.

Fort Knox is the hole in the ground where mined gold is re-buried.

There a riot occurred. According to an Associated Press story of August 3rd, Private Carl B. McClellan of Bicknell, Indiana, died as a result of a rifle bullet fired by one of the guards ordered to quell a riot.

The father of the boy was informed that his son had been *"killed in a rifle-range accident"* and knew nothing of the riot until a newspaper reporter informed him.

Later it was disclosed that the rioting was touched off when a prisoner, using a make-shift ladder, climbed over two wire fences surrounding the guardhouse and escaped. Guards discovered the soldier escaping and fired several shots at him but he made a getaway. He was captured later.

At the sound of the shots, prisoners rushed out of their barracks into the yard, the colonel said. They threw rocks from the yard rock pile at guards and officers.

Four of the guards began firing over the heads of the prisoners and one of the shots glanced off a pillar, striking young McClellan, who was serving a short term in the guardhouse for a minor offense, the officer said.

When the volleys failed to disperse the rioters, a fire hose was brought into action and order was restored.

Meanwhile, hundreds of thousands of boys are in the training camps ekeing out an existence on $21 a month while their more fortunate compatriots — some of whom are blessed with flat feet or fat friends — are earning $10 a day in munition factories.

This is enough cause for resentment without adding the additional cause of an extra year's service during which time many of the draftees will have lost their jobs permanently on the home front, as well as the revenue accruing from them.

Following the war — and, indeed, it will end some day — bigger and better riots of an unknown nature will sweep the country when millions of draftees and millions of munition workers will demand an annual living wage even at the expense of confiscating private property.

Army Camps

THE TRUTH dare not be told relative to Army camps.

No paper prints the number of deserters per week.

No paper prints the number of suicides per week.

No paper reports the disappearance of morale per week.

One Congressman — so it is reported — was chided because the draftees lacked guns and ammunition. He replied that the Government could supply guns and ammunition but *"was afraid to do so."*

The Honorable Harold Knutson of Minnesota inserted the following remarks in the *Congressional Record* of July 23rd:

"During the World War the War Department established training camps in a number of out-of-the-way places that were wholly unsuited for the purpose of training soldiers. At that time it was suspected that a number of the selections had been made largely to appease certain parts of the country or that they involved real estate transactions that would not bear investigation.

"It had been hoped that the War Department would not commit the same mistake should occasion again arise when it became necessary to establish camps to train men in future emergencies. Evidently the War Department is incapable of learning or profiting by past experiences.

"Sheriff William J. Butcher, of Morrison County, Minn., recently visited four camps located in the deep South, which included Camp Claiborne. I quote from the Little Falls (Minn.) *Daily Transcript* of Monday, July 7, as follows:

"Poisonous snakes, spiders, mosquitoes, and stagnant water infest the area of the four big camps, into which the Government has poured millions of dollars. Reports which Sheriff Butcher received at the camp, though not official, are that there will be no maneuvers and that all four camps have been condemned — the troops will have to move out.

" 'Don't go back to Little Falls and tell them that this is a good camp,' one of the men admonished.

" 'But the officers apparently are doing all they can for the safety of the thousands of soldiers who are in training under these conditions,' the sheriff said. Every possible health measure has been taken, but training is confined to the filled-in areas, which limits activities. Rumors are that the troops soon will be moved to some part of the country where climatic and topographical conditions will provide more efficient training. No mention was made of Camp Ripley in this respect.

"Water stands everywhere except on highways and areas which have been built up. Animals roam the high places and go to sleep at night on the public roads. Motorists have to drive with extreme care to avoid striking them.

"Evidence of fabulous spending of the public's money is to be seen throughout the military areas, but Louisiana simply is no place for a white man to live, the sheriff declared. The food is good, but the drinking water is warm and insipid. And the heat is terrific.

"Hundreds of boys are in the hospital for insect bites and stomach ailments, Sheriff Butcher was told. There were no local cases that he heard of. Morale among the Little Falls men is high. No member of Company D or Company E has been put in the guardhouse or a civilian jail.

"All the boys wanted to say hello to everyone back home, which is a pretty big order, but Sheriff Butcher thought he could fill it through the *Daily Transcript.*"

* * *

Congressman Knutson's report continued:

"The State of Minnesota has on numerous occasions offered to transfer Camp Ripley to the Federal Government for training purposes. That camp has been pronounced one of the best camps in the country as to location, area, climate, drainage, transportation, and geographical location. For years it has been used by the National Guard from a number of Mississippi Valley States, and at times as many as 35,000 men have been garrisoned there at one time. Officers and men have pronounced it one of the best camps in the country.

"The Minnesota Legislature, at its last session, appropriated a million dollars to enlarge the camp so as to provide as much land as the Government could possibly use. The excuses given by the War Department for not designating Camp Ripley will not hold water. It may be true that there were not enough barracks available. To that excuse let me call attention to the fact that there were no barracks or buildings of any kind on sites that have been selected in the South — not even roads in some instances — where Ripley already had thousands of acres of cleared, high, dry, and accessible land that were all ready for maneuvers as compared to some of the swamp sites selected by the War Department in the South, that must be abandoned after the expenditure of millions of dollars . . .

"I do not know who is responsible for some of the selections that have been made, but I will say without fear of contradiction that the sites were selected because of political and other considerations that wholly disregarded the welfare of the country at large and of those who were to receive their training in these camps. I would suggest that civic and patriotic organizations, and the national committee cause the whole mess to be investigated and that the facts be made known to the American people who will take remedial action in November, 1942. The facts, as I get them, present an indefensible situation that is little short of scandalous."

"If this war is fought to mutual exhaustion, the British Empire will experience a degree of impoverishment the like of which we have never known. And at the end of the road of all our bloodshed and sacrifice, we shall find, not a democracy, but an authoritarian regime of the right or of the left."

—Arthur Greenwood, English labor leader, to an Oxford University audience in the early months of the war.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Uncle Sam and Uncle Joe

THROUGH the earnest activities of President Roosevelt, Uncle Sam has pledged economic support for Uncle Joe, his Russian brother.

For some time merchandise and commodities have been pouring across the Pacific to Vladivostok against the day when Stalin-Hitler forces would clash.

It was almost humorous — if not tragic — to learn that, following the aluminum pot-and-kettle drive which netted some 20,000 tons of metal for national defense purposes, 20,000 tons of pure aluminum *was shipped to Soviet Russia!*

In other words, economic support for Soviet resistance to the Nazis is well under way.

On August 4th, the United States formally pledged "all economic assistance practicable" to strengthen Uncle Joe's mighty arm long since tired from massacring Christians.

In an exchange of diplomatic notes, the United States informed the Soviet Union that it is:

(1) Extending priority assistance to Soviet orders for urgently needed materials "upon the principles applicable to the orders of countries struggling against aggression."

Whoever wrote in that word "aggression" was a clumsy propagandist. Red Russia committed aggressions against Latvia, Esthonia, Finland and Poland, not to mention aggressions against the religious-minded inhabitants of Russia itself.

In the war now raging, the United States Government would have us believe that little Finland is an "unjust aggressor" because she had the audacity to confront the Red hordes bent on assimilating the sovereign terrain of Finland;

(2) Issuing unlimited licenses, permitting the export of a "wide variety of articles and materials needed for the strengthening of the defense" of Russia.

Meanwhile, German Christians organized to send ministers and priests into that part of the Ukraine freed from Russian domination on the basis that there is no defense worth while except it is associated with moral and religious defense;

(3) Giving "favorable consideration" to Russian requests for the extension of available American shipping facilities.

This will mean that American bottoms, likely, will endeavor to transport aluminum, munitions and foodstuffs to Vladivostok, across the Pacific. This will mean that we will run foul of the Japanese navy. This will mean, probably, that the United States will go to war to assist the Communist cause.

* * *

The above trade agreement was overshadowed in importance by the exchange of notes between Sumner Welles, Undersecretary of State, and the Soviet Ambassador, Constantine Oumansky.

Welles' note formally advised Comrade Oumansky of our Government's decision to give all economic aid possible on the ground that the strengthening of Russian armed resistance to the "predatory attack of an aggressor who is threatening the security and independence not only of the Soviet Union but also of all other nations" is in the interest of the national defense of the United States.

The words, "all other nations," are most comprehensive. They embrace, for example, innocent Finland.

* * *

More than a year ago SOCIAL JUSTICE advertised a book entitled *"The Rulers of Russia"* by the Rev. Denis Fahey of Ireland. In its pages facts and figures, supported by authentic documents, reveal that the Russian people have suffered an aggression on the part of the organized Stalinites who enjoyed the support of many Jewish fronts.

Copies of that book are still available at our office.

* * *

Meanwhile, contrary to what Pope Pius XI said, certain Christians in this country are co-operating with Communism.

One Catholic parochial paper — the *Ann Arbor Catholic,* published in the interests of St. Thomas Parish, Ann Arbor, Michigan — said in its July 20th issue:

"Germany's attack on Russia has been the cause of widespread discussion as to just which is our greater enemy. Communism as a social system has, of course, been condemned by the late Pope Pius XI. That would seem to make Soviet Russia the greater enemy for Catholics. Yet Naziism has been no less condemned by the Holy See.

"The question is: may we justly assist Russia to defeat Germany, and the answer seems to be 'yes,' as we cannot live with a triumphant Nazi Germany, for the announced goal of that government is world domination. We have at least lived with Communistic Russia for some twenty years.

"In aiding Russia we aid ourselves, but at the same time we assist a nation whose government is an avowed enemy of the American way of life and our democratic freedom. We aid an open enemy of the Catholic Church, but such an aid is justified on the condition that we must have a grave reason for performing such an action. This seems to be verified in the case of aid to Russia.

"The judgment of our Government to aid the Soviet is based on cold analysis of a factual situation, as in the present state of affairs there exists a potential hierarchy of enemies to the United States. Thus, the Nazi occupies first place as he is the greatest threat."

* * *

Many Catholics will not agree with the thoughts expressed in the above quotation. Recollecting the words of Pius XI to the effect that one cannot be a good Catholic and co-operate with Communism in any manner whatsoever, many Catholics are wondering how such an intepretation of the late Pontiff's words can square with the thoughts published in the *Ann Arbor Catholic.*

And meanwhile, it is elucidating to learn that the Communist *Daily Worker* is in fulsome praise of such editorials as the *Ann Arbor Catholic* printed, and in fulsome condemnation of the "Coughlinites."

After all, a man is known by his friends as well as by his enemies.

U. S. Trusting in Fair-Minded Russia!

WINSTON CHURCHILL and Franklin D. Roosevelt have stated unequivocally that there can be no peace until Hitler, and Hitlerism, is wiped from the face of the earth.

They have rejected all peace overtures on the ground that Hitler "cannot be trusted to keep any agreement."

They prefer a continuance of the human slaughter in Europe and, from all appearances, are preparing to send American boys to fight and die in foreign lands so that the slaughter may continue until the German army is exhausted.

In this ghoulish, inhuman, anti-Christian cabal against civilization they have teamed up with Communist Russia.

Edgar Ansel Mowrer, Washington correspondent of War-Monger Frank Knox's *Chicago Daily News,* reporting President Roosevelt's decision to send "more and more war material to Russia," declared that "the Administration is trusting in the fair-mindedness of the Russians, and meanwhile they are admitting the great services that Stalin's stalwarts have rendered to this country already, even if they are defeated tomorrow."

* * *

The Roosevelt Administration is trusting in the fair-mindedness of the Russians!

It brands as un-American any proposal for the cessation of the carnage "because Hitler cannot be trusted." But it prolongs and plans to intensify this total war in collusion with the most atheistic, brutal, double-crossing despotism in the world.

The Administration is trusting in the fair-mindedness of the Russians — the same Russians who have murdered millions of Christians, outlawed God from their vast country, and sought to revolutionize the world with their atheistic, Marxian doctrines.

Were it not for its tragic implications and consequences, one could afford to laugh uproariously at the Administration's trust in the "fair-mindedness of the Russians"— the same Russians who for eight years have been directing a campaign of subversion and sabotage in this country in direct violation of the agreement by which the Soviet received official recognition from the United States.

Read what Winston Churchill once wrote about Soviet Russia — the Soviet that today is accepted as a full-fledged ally of Great Britain in the "holy war" against Naziism, the Soviet which is being supplied with war materials by an Administration that "trusts in the fair-mindedness" of the Godless Soviet rulers.

On page 71 of his book *"The World Crisis"* (volume 4), Mr. Churchill wrote: "But Russia had fallen by the way and in falling she had changed her identity. An apparition with countenance different from any yet seen on earth stood in the place of the old ally. We saw a State without a nation, an army without a country, a religion without God.

"The government which claimed to be the new Russia sprang from revolution and was fed by terror. It had denounced the faith of treaties, it had made a separate peace, it had released a million Germans for the final onslaught in the West. It had declared that between itself and non-

(Continued on Page 9)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 8)

Communist society no good faith, public or private, could exist and no entanglements need be respected . . .

"The old Russia had been dragged down and in her place there ruled 'the nameless beast' so long foretold in Russian legend. Thus the Russian people were deprived of victory, honor, freedom, peace and bread. Thus there was to be no Russia in the councils of the Allies — only an abyss which still continues in human affairs."

* * *

On page 75: " 'A Russian statistical investigation,' writes Professor Sarolea, 'estimates that the dictators killed 28 bishops, 1,219 priests, 6,000 professors and teachers, 9,000 doctors, 12,950 landowners, 54,000 officers, 70,000 policemen, 193,290 workmen, 260,000 soldiers, 355,250 intellectuals and professional men, and 815,000 peasants.'

"These figures are endorsed by Dr. Hearnshaw, of King's College, Cambridge, in his brilliant introduction to *A Survey of Socialism*. They do not, of course, include the vast abridgements of the Russian population which followed from the famine."

* * *

But the United States Administration trusts in the "fair-mindedness of the Russians."

On page 80, Mr. Churchill's book continues: "The Bolsheviks who, on January 4, had joined with the French and Swedish governments in recognizing the independence of Finland, invaded Finland and captured Helsingfors on January 28, 1918. This was no ordinary war of troops and cannon. The Soviet Red Guard advanced by moblike methods, and before them, more deadly than carnal weapons, sprang up the local forces of Communist propaganda and revolt. Two horrible pages in Finnish history were successively written. On March 1, a treaty of peace and amity was signed between the Finnish Republic and the Soviet. A red terror followed in Finland. But here the Germans intervened as rescuers."

* * *

On page 102 of Churchill's book: "Everything is relative. Everyone tries to remember (and tries to forget) the German occupation of Belgium. Here in the Ukraine these same Germans came as deliverers and were spontaneously recognized as such, not only by the general population, but by those patriotic elements most hostile to the invaders of Russia. A dose of Communism induces a desire in any population to welcome any other form — even the hardest — of civilized authority. With the arrival of the German 'steelhelmets' life again became tolerable."

The Soviet Russia Churchill so thoroughly denounced in the above passages is the same Soviet Russia, be it understood, in whose "fair-mindedness" the New Deal Administration is trusting as it exhorts Americans to support a foolhardy policy of all aid to Russia in the interest of 'democracy."

U.A.W. to Fight Communists (?)

WALTER P. REUTHER, Moscow trained head of the United Automobile Workers, General Motors division, and Richard T. Frankensteen, director of the U.A.W. aircraft division — and one-time white-haired boy of the Communists in the U.A.W. — announced that they "plan to remove Communists from official positions" in their union.

In a memorandum outlining their program for the union, the Reuther-Frankensteen group urged a constitutional amendment barring members of Communist, Nazi or Fascist organizations from holding any elective or appointive offices either in the international union or subordinate bodies.

Meanwhile, at Buffalo, there developed signs of a rift in the convention forces over the proposed repudiation of Reds in the C.I.O.

A joint broadcast of President R. J. Thomas and Herbert Morrison, Labor Minister in Britain's War Cabinet, was mysteriously called off.

Insiders saw the hand of John L. Lewis behind the scenes in the labor picture. Much bigger game than a publicized Red hunt is in sight for the Laborites.

George Addes, who boasts of his Catholicity, opposed the amendment and took occasion to castigate a Catholic organization for interfering in the union's activities.

As SOCIAL JUSTICE has said previously, there are few Communists in the United States. By that we mean that few persons carry membership cards in the Communist Party.

How many persons entertain Communistic ideas and Marxian policies is another question.

How many persons inside the U.A.W. believe in a proletarian form of government is also another question.

Reuther and Frankensteen are competently cunning. They recognize that it is smart politics to ostracize card-bearing Communists from their organization.

Let us remind them that all those who say "Lord, Lord" do not "enter the kingdom of Heaven."

By the same token, all those labor activists who are not card-carrying Communists are not, necessarily, pro-American and anti-Karl Marx in their mental make-up.

Now It Can Be Told

SENATOR Claude Pepper of Florida, generally known to be an Administration spokesman, shocked the Upper House the other day with his brutal frankness.

Apparently believing that the deceitful White House war policy has reached the "now it can be told" stage, Pepper proclaimed flatly that American boys *are* going to be sent to fight in Europe.

Whether he overstepped the bounds prescribed by Administration strategy or whether the remark was made with the knowledge and consent of the White House, we, of course, cannot say.

But in view of his standing as an Administration mouthpiece, his words carry great weight. He admitted that American soldiers would be retained in service in order that they might be sent to Europe to engage in a "final, mortal duel with Hitler."

How long before Mr. Roosevelt will tell us that—in contrast to his pious campaign peace pledge?

Rev. Lloyd B. Schear

THE Rev. Lloyd B. Schear, Hughesville, Pa., Methodist Church minister, is militant in a Christian manner.

Last October he registered for the United States *Selective Service Act*. Recently he returned his questionnaire to military authorities unfilled and unsigned.

Likely, the reverend gentleman will be listed as a "slacker"; will be sent to some form of a concentration camp; and will be yapped at by the war-mongers throughout the nation.

Not many months ago, the country was aroused by Nazi Germany's treatment of Pastor Niemoeller who was imprisoned for an offense against his government.

Will America have a Niemoeller in the person of the Rev. Lloyd B. Schear, who said:

"When I registered with the Registration Board here in Hughesville on Oct. 16, 1940, I did so with grave misgivings. I registered in protest, in humiliation and in shame. I was humiliated and grieved by the extent to which our Government assumes the right to use the citizens of our country. This revealed our failures to solve our national and international problems without conscripting and regimenting human life. But furthermore, in registering I violated my Christian convictions and conscience. I desire no conflict between loyalties due my country and loyalties due God. However, when my Government initiates and passes a law which violates my Christian conscience and my loyalty to God, there can be but one choice for me and that is to obey God rather than man."

Incidentally, the German government is condemned for interfering with Catholic and Protestant religious activities. No one excuses any government guilty of interfering with the activities of established religion as long as the leaders of the religion in question do not preach sedition or the overthrow of the government itself.

And the British government has equalled the German aggressions against religious activities in the case of Cardinal MacRory.

Prior to last Lent the Cardinal mailed a letter to all his priests in which were outlined the Lenten observations.

The letter was held up by the British mail authorities.

When the Cardinal protested to the late Lord Craigavon, he was told: "This is a Protestant government for a Protestant people!"

Cardinal MacRory said: "In the Westminster Parliament the Minister of Information declared that he endorsed the action of the censorship department in Belfast; that the pastoral letter was held up because of statements contained therein."

Americans — including some bishops and priests — seem inclined to believe that interference with their Catholic practice occurs only in Nazi Germany.

The incident referred to in these paragraphs indicates that Britain is just as bigoted as is the Nazi regime.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Asking for Revolution

A. A. A. 'Gyps' Farmers, Calls Them 'Pro-Nazi'

COUNT that day lost when an Administration spokesman does not brand as pro-Nazi some patriotic American who opposes New Deal war policies.

One of the most ludicrous of such recent outbursts came from Harry N. Schooler, a regional director of the Agricultural Adjustment Administration.

Employing the smear tactics popularized by that "master of smearing," Harold Ickes, Schooler inferred that the farmers of the Middlewest who have protested *en masse* against A.A.A. wheat penalties are "pro-Nazi."

"It is significant to note," he railed in a radio broadcast, "that wherever there is a concentration of farmers of German ancestry one finds this agitation to overthrow the work of the Department of Agriculture!"

What Mr. Schooler thus seized upon, as an opportunity to stir discord and hate, was the farmers' opposition to the patent unfairness of regulations calling for a penalty of 49 cents a bushel for all wheat raised in excess of acreage allotments.

The penalty at the time wheat growers were planting this year was only 15 cents a bushel, but, as Congress Ross Rizley of Oklahoma put it, Congress on May 26 "changed the rules of the game, so to speak, right in the middle," raising the penalty to 49 cents.

The obvious injustice of invoking the penalty even though a farmer's production was below normal was rectified by the House the very day Schooler spoke. By his reasoning therefore, Congress might also be "pro-Nazi," for it accepted the farmers' protest in the matter.

* * *

Schooler had asserted that the wheat marketing quotas has been approved by an 80 per cent majority of wheat growers in a referendum vote carried out in accordance with regulations laid down by Congress. Under the *A.A.A. Act of 1938* Congress empowered the Secretary of Agriculture to call such an election when he deemed marketing quotas necessary.

Congressman Rizley charged in the House that the farmers had been deceived in approving the quotas since many of them were unaware of the increase in penalties from 15 cents to 49 cents a bushel, as well as other distasteful features of the proposal.

As proof he cited the case of "a distinguished Senator — and I understand he is a member of the Committee on Agriculture" — who had wrongly advised a constituent because the Senator himself did not know there was a penalty on wheat fed to the farmer's livestock.

How, asked Rizley, are farmers expected to know all the implications of a technical bill when a distinguished member of Congress did not?

Rizley then told the House of several examples in which the A.A.A. regulations were grossly unfair and worked hardships on the wheat growers who allegedly were supposed to benefit by them.

* * *

One grower was assigned an allotment of 50 acres, but he decided that this small amount of wheat would not enable him to pay his taxes and the interest on his mortgage. So he planted 100 acres of wheat, believing the penalty on excess acreage would be only 15 cents a bushel. It was at the time.

Instead of receiving his normal yield of 10 bushels an acre, however, the farmer got only five bushels an acre on the entire 100 acres — a total of 500 bushels.

Although that was the identical amount he had been allowed under the allotment plan (50 acres at 10 bushels an acre) the farmer was obliged to pay a penalty of 49 cents a bushel on the 250 bushels produced on the "excess" 50 acres.

"That is just one of the penalties," Representative Rizley explained. "On that same wheat he must pay, in addition to that 49 cents, under the provisions of the *Triple-A Act,* another 50 cents per bushel; in other words, that is withheld from him, together with his parity payments, which penalizes him, as a matter of fact, on that 250 bushels, $1.08 a bushel for every bushel of that wheat!"

Subsequently, the House saw fit to remedy at least one angle of the unjust A.A.A. restrictions. It passed a bill allowing farmers to make up shortages in normal crops without penalty. Assuming it will become law, farmers henceforth will be able to supplement a sub-normal crop by wheat from excess acreage.

Showing the necessity for such an amendment, Rizley cited another case of an Indiana farmer with an allotment of 40 acres, but who seeded 75 acres.

"A freeze came along on Armistice Day and destroyed all but 12 acres of his wheat. He harvested that 12 acres and it made 10 bushels to the acre, *but because he had overseeded his allotment he was still compelled to pay the penalty!*

* * *

"Let me tell you of an actual case coming from my own district," Rizley continued. "I had a man who wrote me about this case. He had an allotment of 50 acres with a normal yield of 12 bushels, but last Fall he planted 80 acres. His whole acreage only made 8 bushels to the acre or 640 bushels of wheat, but because he overseeded by 30 acres, he had to pay a penalty on 240 bushels of that wheat. It was a pitiful letter. His farm was mortgaged to the Federal Land Bank at Wichita.

"Mind you, he had already been penalized 50 cents plus 9 cents on that 240 bushels. It was a pitiful letter in which he said that he was unable to pay his harvesting expense, that his interest was coming due and that he could not pay it, that he did not know how he was going to keep his wife and three children through the Winter."

* * *

Mr. Schooler, the A.A.A. regional director, would say that this man is "pro-Nazi" for trying "to overthrow the work of the Department of Agriculture."

Challenging the Department's assertion that the farmers voted for the present acreage control system and all its implications, Rizley continued:

"Our friends say that the farmers voted this thing and they knew what they were doing. Let us see, Mr. Speaker, whether they knew exactly what they were doing or not. I hold here a notice that was sent out to the farmers in connection with this voting program. It must have been important because it says right at the top, 'Important.' Let us see whether the farmer knew he was penalizing himself 49 cents a bushel. I go on down through a number of paragraphs and then it states that if these quotas are not voted, wheat will be selling for 25 cents or 30 cents a bushel. Then they take an exact case and they say 'Loans' and then I go on down to 'Penalties,' and let us see what they say about penalties:

" 'Producers not exceeding their wheat allotment may market all wheat produced penalty free . . .

" 'Producers who exceed their wheat acreage allotments *shall be subject to a penalty of 15 cents per bushel on wheat marketed or presumed to be marketed.*'

"There is a notice sent out, telling the farmer that his penalty was still 15 cents a bushel. I say to you, Mr. Speaker, that this Congress can ill afford, in my humble opinion, to pass a law that in effect, if not actually, is retroactive, and changes the rules in the middle of the game and then with good face stand up and say, well, the farmer voted this thing upon himself."

Butter for Britain

BEGINNING September 6th, we may expect to listen to propaganda advocating the generous use of oleomargarine.

By order of Administrator Paul McNutt, the oleomargarine interests will be permitted to dress up this imitation butter with chemical taste and coloring so that it will resemble the real thing.

It is planned to educate housewives to eat oleo in the name of national defense. *It is planned to send our butter to the Soviets and British!*

This despite the fact that our dairy farmers potentially can produce enough butter and cheese for both the United States and Britain.

The oleo interests—long since held down by legislation—are now out to make a killing on the butter market.

Many dairy farmers are not going to take this sabotaging of American health and American agriculture as sheepishly as the commissars at Washington plan.

Already, meetings of dairy farmers are being planned to stir up resentment against the Washington dictators.

In Wisconsin alone, 175,000 dairy farmers are appealing to the American public to refuse to buy oleomargarine; to refuse to eat it in restaurants.

Writing in *The Christian Farmer* — a national monthly devoted to the interests of rural America — Father Baer says:

"Today, not only Wisconsin dairy farmers, but dairy farmers everywhere face a

(Continued on Page 11)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 10)

test even more severe than that faced by Wisconsin farmers ten years ago. Just recently, Federal Security Administrator Paul McNutt has announced that he favors artificial flavoring and 'needling' of oleomargarine with artificial vitamins so that oleomargarine can be used to compete with butter which is the dairy farmer's basic commodity. Until June 19th of this year it was unlawful to use diacetyl, which is the artificial flavoring in oleomargarine . . .

"What a great day it will be for the oleomargarine manufacturers when, starting with September 6th, they will be permitted to imitate butter closely by incorporating diacetyl, licithin, vitamin A and other substances into their product, and thus break down consumers' aversion to artificial butter and, presumably, throw more real butter upon the market for lend-lease use.

"What a field day it will be for the oleo interests if the new legislation, now in Congress, is passed to repeal the $6 a year tax on retailers of oleo. But what a sad day it will be for the dairy farmers throughout the nation. For from that day forward the farmer will see much of his domestic market go by the board."

It will be interesting to find out who owns or controls the oleomargarine business. Our surmise is that the farmers of Wisconsin have nothing to do with it; that the "farmers" of the Bronx and Wall Street have most to do with it.

These latter never touched anything without destroying it — including Summer resorts, Winter resorts and residential sections.

Profiteering in Rotten Eggs

A UNITED PRESS dispatch, dated August 3rd, from London, carried the following information:

"The Ministry of Food announced today hat Britons *would be limited to three fresh ggs each during August*. A contributing eason for the shortage was the fact that ne million lend-lease eggs in a recent conoy from the United States were rotten on rrival. The lack of refrigerator ships and he long distances traveled by the eggs, vhich came from Nebraska, Wisconsin, and owa, were blamed for their condition."

The gross profiteering days of World War No. 1 are with us again. We remember ammunition, particularly, sent to Europe that was unusable, but every shell asing and cartridge was paid for in full y the American taxpayers.

Who was so stupid as to expect eggs to e in usable condition after an ocean voyage without refrigeration?

Who got paid for in full for this consignment out of the American taxpayers oney allocated for the $7-billion *Lease-end Bill?*

President Roosevelt has said: "Let no ne think he can feather his own nest with ie feathers of the American eagle!"

White-Washing Canada

THE BRITISH are making supreme efforts to white-wash the attitude of Canada towards Britain's war.

Quickly admitting that there are no finer persons in the world than Canadians, it is still true that Canada is not doing all that Britain wants her to do in this fight to perpetuate British imperialism upon the world.

Canada still has no conscription of men for foreign service.

Canada still has no *Lend-Lease Bill* to bestow her goods without remuneration upon Great Britain.

All the diplomatic white-wash in John Bull's barrel cannot erase these facts even though 40 per cent of Canada's national income is being expended upon war effort.

To show where Canada and other British commonwealths stand on the matter of all aid to Britain, we quote the following newspaper articles.

The first is a United Press dispatch from Calgary, Alberta (June 28). It says:

"Prime Minister King today rejected demands for conscription on grounds that a total war effort would be impossible from a 'disunited people.'

"The Prime Minister, making a tour of Western Canada, made the statements in an address at a monster recruiting parade. He was answering agitation for conscription and criticism of his unwillingness to go to England for an Imperial conference. His speech was interrupted several times by hecklers who shouted *'we want conscription!'*"

* * *

The second dispatch is from Auckland, New Zealand, which says:

"America's lease-lend program has been both good and bad news to this island Dominion of Great Britain.

"It was good news because it bolstered the British Empire in its battle against Germany . . .

"But it was bad news because it permits England to purchase almost unlimited quantities of foodstuffs from America without the necessity to paying for it while the war lasts. Food is all New Zealand has to sell, and Britain has been her only important customer . . .

"Nevertheless, it is recognized that the loss of her markets for meat, butter and fruit will be a serious blow to New Zealand economy as long as the war lasts . . .

"Britain does not want butter, which is piling up here, preferring to turn to margarine, the ingredients of which will keep without refrigeration."

* * *

The *Toronto Globe and Mail* (July 26) prints an editorial which shows the spirit which is gaining ground in Canada. It is entitled *"The Plaint of an Airman's Wife."*

It follows:

"At a time when industrial workers, already earning higher wages than they have done for many a long day, are trying to extract the last available cent out of the cost-of-living bonus, and miners in Cape Breton are actually sabotaging the national war effort because all their demands have not been conceded, we think that it would be well to remember the thousands of households which are eking out a difficult existence while their breadwinners are serving in some branch of our fighting forces. They are dependent in most cases solely upon the pay and allowances available for men on service, and they are just as much affected by the rise in the cost of living as are other families.

"Naturally, when they see households whose heads are engaged in safe civilian jobs reaping the benefit of the cost-of-living bonus and no corresponding increment in their own incomes, they begin to feel that there is a great measure of disparity in the distribution of the sacrifices entailed by the war. The point of view of the wife of a serving soldier whose home is in Burlington, Ont., is well expressed in the following letter which has reached us:

" 'Eighteen months ago my husband joined the R.C.A.F. to the tune of "no profiteering, etc., etc." I am a Scot by birth, and I was proud that my husband was willing to give up his life if need be.

" 'I realized all the torment of mind our separation meant and how little $79 per month was to feed and clothe three children and myself, also to pay rent, buy fuel and all the incidentals which crop up in any family.

" 'All that was nothing, thought I, foolishly believing that in a democracy every one would share equally.

" 'A year passed. My children occasionally asked why they couldn't have some of the things their friends had whose fathers worked in munitions. I explained what I felt to be the reason and the rightness of our sacrifice. Their shining eyes were my hallelujah chorus.

" 'Came the strikes in the National Steel Car Corporation of Hamilton and elsewhere. The government stepped in, met the demands of the workers, and I was ashamed of my adopted countrymen. The last straw is the fact that these same strikers, who are making nearly three times what enlisted men's families have, have been given a cost-of-living bonus.

" 'How, then, can I teach my children "democracy" when they will be forced to interpret it from what they can see for themselves?'

"We feel that this letter, whose fine spirit of patriotism cannot conceal a deep underlying resentment, voices the sentiments of thousands of Canadian women who are in the same plight as the writer, and that its contents deserve sympathetic attention. The cost of living continues to rise; in the last week for which data are available the wholesale price index of the Bureau of Statistics rose .6 of a point, thereby showing the largest advance that has been recorded for any single week for a long time."

* * *

After all, what is Canada?

By the same token, what is the United States?

Both Canada and the United States are nothing more than the citizens who make up the populations of these countries.

In both countries, the populations are over-taxed.

In both countries, the soldiers are underpaid; and their families are being deprived of the revenues which ordinarily would be theirs.

In both countries, the young men are beginning to cultivate a resentment against the unfairness and disparagement meted out to them.

All this has but one interpretation. It means that the governments responsible for the current policies will be the major sufferers.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

A Letter to the President—No. 3

MY DEAR MR. PRESIDENT:

Some time ago Your Excellency was mightily disturbed over the aggressions made against democracy by the Axis powers.

I recognize that you possess the cultured intelligence which distinguishes between the use and misuse of the word, "democracy." Perchance, there are some poor sharecroppers, some unlettered factory workers, who do not understand the definition and its implications relative to the basic form of government which we both love.

It is my observation that, generally speaking, Americans blessed with a high school education do not identify as a liberal form of government the type now imposed upon many of the suffering people of Europe, Soviet Russia included.

And Your Excellency, who so greatly admires the sound pronouncements of Leo XIII as contained in "*Rerum Novarum*," would not be offended should I rehearse some of the same Pontiff's statements related to the "*Christian Constitution of States*" contained in his famous encyclical which bears that title.

First of all, His Holiness expresses the viewpoint that no State can stand which excludes God and religion from its concept of government. Said he:

"For God alone is the true and supreme Lord of the world. Everything, without exception, must be subject to Him, and must serve Him, so that whosoever holds the right to govern, holds it from one sole and single source, namely, God, the Sovereign Ruler of all. *There is no power but from God. (Rom. xiii; 1)*

"The right to rule is not necessarily, however, bound up with any special mode of government. It may take this or that form, provided only that it be of a nature to insure the general welfare. But whatever be the nature of the government, rulers must ever bear in mind that God is the paramount ruler of the world, and must set Him before themselves as their exemplar and law in the administration of the State. For, in things visible, God has fashioned secondary causes, in which His divine action can in some wise be discerned, leading up to the end which the course of the world is ever tending. In like manner in civil society, God has always willed that there should be a ruling authority, and that they who are invested with it should reflect the divine power and providence in some measure over the human race"

* * *

In the above quotation Your Excellency, well-versed in the tenets of Episcopalianism, readily concurs.

I remember the incidents associated with March 4, 1933. With Louis Howe, Raymond Moley and a few other intimates, you spent the previous night on the 8th floor, I believe, of the Mayflower Hotel at Washington.

Early that morning you were awakened. After having breakfasted, you proceeded to the Episcopalian church, not far distant. There, before the inaugural procession was scheduled, you prayed God to direct your thoughts, to safeguard your motives and to keep you strong to the end that you would prove His worthy servant.

Definitely, you subscribed to Leo's observation, copied from the 13th chapter of *St. Paul's Epistle to the Romans*, that "there is no power but from God."

Moreover, you recognized the brotherhood of mankind in the fatherhood of God. So did the venerable Pontiff who incorporated these words in his encyclical:

"Government should, moreover, be administered for the well-being of the citizens because they who govern others possess authority solely for the welfare of the State."

In plain language — the same kind of language you so often employ — we are taught that they who govern possess authority for the welfare of the State.

It is your right to exercise authority. It is the corresponding duty of citizens to submit to your legitimate authority on the basis that you are God's servant wielding the scepter for the welfare of the State.

If I may refer again to St. Paul's *Letter to the Romans*, I would remind all citizens what the Apostle says. Paul instructs that "He that resisteth the power resisteth the ordinance of God, and they that resist, purchase to themselves damnation."

Thus, you have a *right to rule* as long as you rule according to God and to God's designs. And we, the people, have *a duty to obey* as long as you, our Chief Executive, abide by this primary rule.

* * *

Though religion is not practiced officially by the majority of our fellow citizens, nevertheless its grass roots have spread to every heart. So true is it that at times there are those amongst us who not only take exception to some of your policies and decrees, but who are inclined to question if your entire philosophy is in harmony with the basic and generic principles of religion.

It is regrettable that this is so — particularly when the questioners oftentimes are sponsors of irreligion. Nevertheless, Leo expressed a sentiment which finds an echo in every American heart when he quoted from the *Acts of the Apostles* the significant passage: "We must obey God rather than men."

Humbly, therefore, I urge you to set a splendid, shining example of Godliness in your life which is so open to public scrutiny.

Not for a moment should I be inclined to believe that the mass of American people are better informed on public and international affairs than are you who have recourse to all the channels of information. In that sense I do not believe in "majority-ism," nor would I subscribe to the view that you should submit your practiced judgment to mass sentiment, mass opinion and mass caprice.

Leo XIII, speaking on this same point, said:

In political affairs, and all matters civil, the laws aim at securing the common good, and are not framed according to the delusive caprices and opinions of the mass of the people, but by truth and by justice; the ruling powers are invested with a sacredness more than human, and are withheld from deviating from the path of duty, and from overstepping the bounds of rightful authority; and the obedience of citizens is rendered with a feeling of honor and dignity, since obedience is not the servitude of man to man, but submission to the will of God, exercising His sovereignty through the medium of men."

God bless that great Pontiff for having so expressed in concise language such abstract truths!

God bless this Christian leader whose words, written November 1, 1885, are but the conclusions derived from the Gospel of Christ and made applicable to our own times.

* * *

After all, Mr. President, the mass of Europeans are wedded to the basic principles of Christianity which you and I avow. It is true that Europe has undergone tremendous changes since 1885. Bismarck's Germany has come and gone. Kaiser Wilhelm's Germany has faded from the stage of life. Hindenberg's Germany has been eclipsed by Hitler's Naziism. Nevertheless, the German people — in fact, all European people — have clung more or less tenaciously to the cross of Christ.

"There will always be an England," so someone has said. And there will always be a Christian Europe, so Leo XIII intimated. In his letter of 1885 he remarked:

"Christian Europe has subdued barbarous nations, and changed them from a savage to a civilized condition, from superstition to true worship. It victoriously rolled back the tide of Mohammedan conquest; retained the headship of civilization; stood forth in the front rank as the leader and teacher of all, in every branch of national culture; bestowed on the world the gift of true and many-sided liberty; and most wisely founded very numerous institutions for the solace of human suffering. And if we inquire how it was able to bring about so altered a condition of things, the answer it — Beyond all question, in large measure, through religion; under whose auspices so many great undertakings were set on foot, through whose aid they were brought to completion."

As significant as the above words are, let us not be deceived by the false philosophy which impregnated itself into the minds of many European rulers, dictators and politicians. I refer to that philosophy of egalitarianism which teaches that all men are alike by race and nature; that all men are equal in the control of their life.

That philosophy militated against rulers such as yourself. It stifled, to a degree, the reasonable process of constitutional government. Like a poisonous weed, it entangled itself in the roots of culture, commerce, industry, education and prosperity.

This did not escape the piercing mind of Leo XIII, who said:

"Amongst these principles the main one lays down that as all men are alike by race and nature, so in like manner are all equal in the control of their life; that each one is so far his own master as to be in no sense under the rule of any other individual; that each is free to think on every subject just as he may choose, and to do whatever he may like to do; that no man has any right to rule over other men. In a society grounded upon such maxims, all government is nothing more nor less than the will of the people, and the people, being under the power of itself alone, is alone its own ruler. It does choose nevertheless some to whose charge it may commit itself, but in such wise that it makes over to them not the right so

(Continued on Page 13)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 12)

much as the business of governing, to be exercised, however, in its name."

The above excerpt is definitely opposed to majorityism and to egalitarianism.

* * *

You are a ruler worthy to be obeyed as long as you, in turn, obey God and cling fast to the principles of God's revealed religion.

You are a ruler not to be subjected to mass opinion nor to be toyed with by the excesses of individual conscience which is independent of all law.

Sustaining you in this principle are Leo's words to which I again refer. He said:

"And it is a part of this theory that all questions that concern religion are to be referred to private judgment; that every one is to be free to follow whatever religion he prefers, or none at all if he disapprove of all. From this the following consequences logically flow: that the judgment of each one's conscience is independent of all law; that the most unrestrained opinions may be openly expressed as to the practice or omission of divine worship; and that every one has unbounded license to think whatever he chooses and to publish abroad whatever he thinks."

Again, he said:

"Accordingly, it has become the practice and determination under this condition of public polity (now so much admired by many) either to forbid the action of the Church altogether, or to keep her in check and bondage to the State. Public enactments are in great measure framed with this design."

Possibly, surface thinkers will protest the sentiment of these quotations on the ground that they are anti-Jeffersonian, anti-American or anti-democracy.

Possibly, those cultured friends who surround you can aid Your Excellency in arriving at the true appreciation of the thoughts which Leo intended to convey.

* * *

You are to be commended for publicizing your advocacy of the four liberties which all men should enjoy. Momentarily may I pause to re-express the thought enunciated by Leo on the subject of liberty. Said he:

"The Church cannot approve of that liberty which begets a contempt of the most sacred laws of God, and casts off the obedience due to lawful authority, for this is not liberty so much as license, and is most correctly styled by St. Augustine the 'liberty of self-ruin,' and the apostle St. Peter the *cloak of malice*. Indeed, since it is opposed to reason, it is a true slavery, *for whosoever committeth sin is the slave of sin*. On the other hand, that liberty is truly genuine, and to be sought after, which in regard to the individual does not allow men to be the slaves of error and of passion, the worst of all masters; which, too, in public administration guides the citizens in wisdom and provides for them increased means of well-being; and which, further, protects the State from foreign interference."

To escape the political slavery of a Hitler and to find ourselves entrapped by the slavery of error, of passion, or of godlessness, would be a catastrophe of a major kind.

How important it is for Your Excellency to differentiate liberty from license, liberty from passion, liberty from error and liberty from all those excesses which lead to chaos.

Perhaps, Mr. President, you will find time — more time than in the past — to visit your Master in the little church which you love.

As far as that is concerned, neither Catholic nor Jew would be critical if you invited an Episcopalian minister to visit the White House every morning to conduct services for you and your family.

Cordially yours,

SEBASTIAN IGNOTUS.

LaGuardia's Cohorts Assail Irish

MAYOR LAGUARDIA has opened his campaign for re-election as Mayor of New York City.

The chairman of the City Fusion party, which is Mayor LaGuardia's party, is one, Ben Howe.

Starting off the campaign, Howe said:

"New York has always obeyed the Irish like so many chloroformed stiffs . . .

"Take the five county leaders — there's Flynn, there's no doubt about him. And Sullivan, too, and so on right down the line. You can guess their nationalities. We don't want them to take over the town.

"I have nothing against the Irish; I would say the same thing about any group that had the audacity to take over the town whether they be Jehovah's Witnesses or Quakers or anything else.

"I was recently a spectator at a fire and I heard the fire captain bellowing to his men calling out 'O'Houlihan, O'Reilly' and a lot of other Irish names and only one other name among them all.

"That is an example of how the civil service has been rigged by the Irish under Tammany. The fusion administration has put an end to that kind of civil service rigging and that is why some of the politicians don't like Paul J. Kern.

"Isaac's appointment of Gerson may have been a political mistake but there was nothing wrong about it — under our Constitution President Roosevelt could have appointed the same man to the Cabinet.

"The Gerson criticism was 100 per cent hokum because there were a few Irish to whom that issue looked good."

Thus, the Jews of New York, through their mouthpiece, Ben Howe, have emerged to openly assail the Irish, and openly to demand full control of New York City.

Commenting on this racial aggression, the *New York Sun* says: "Mayor LaGuardia's campaign managers were moving fast in an effort to overcome the damage which they fear may have been done the fusion cause in the city campaign by Ben Howe, chairman of the City Fusion Party, whose attack on the Irish created a sensation throughout political circles."

One wonders just what Mr. LaGuardia's opponents are about to do.

What Glasgow Thinks About the War

THE *Sunday Post* printed and published in Glasgow, Scotland (June 15) is a most interesting issue.

On page 3 there is a large ad advising fat ladies how to reduce.

Imagine that! In a country where there is milk rationing, egg rationing and widespread malnutrition!

On page 5 practically the entire four columns are devoted to an article *"What is Holding Up the War Output?"*

One contributor says that working men are suspended if they break a tool. Knowing of this rule, many workmen intentionally break tools to get a day off.

A second contributor complains about the profits being made by the manufacturers. He remarks that the government pays a 10 per cent profit on a basis of "cost-of-job-and-wages"; that many men are forced to work overtime, doing nothing, so that their employers will get higher profits.

A third contributor remarks that holidays in England are as usual.

Others complain of too much politics in the labor department.

Meanwhile, American workmen are supposed to put in an all out effort for Great Britain.

The Russian-Polish Agreement

(Continued on Page 4)

and individuals — all regulated, according to right reason, by laws which prevent the State, the corporations and the institutions from exploiting the masses and from concentrating wealth and power in the hands of the classes.

In the totalitarian State, be it understood, individuals, families, corporations and institutions exist for the State. This swamping of the individual in the human mass is a program against which both Pope Leo XIII and Pius XI protested.

As for internationalism, British unionnowism and world self-governmentalism, no nation, in conscience, can subordinate its nationality entirely to any materialistic, political program of universal extent; for under such a program, might makes right — the might, perchance, of numbers; or the might, perchance, of conniving minorities.

Under the principle of world-wide government to which our Roosevelt administration seems inclined, and to which the British imperialists likewise seem inclined, the theory is accepted that the whole is more than the sum total of its constituents; that the individual State must be subordinated in all things to the international political panzer.

Hitler's State totalitarianism is condemned in our estimation.

Likewise, Britain's, America's and Russia's internationalism for the world is condemned by the same principle.

While individual nations have been *blitzkrieged* either into totalitarianism or into internationalism, the case of Poland represents the first Christian State in all the world which voluntarily entered into the pact which opposes national individualism on the principle of subordinating the culture, the religion, the economy and the personality of a nation to the mass whole.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

McMahon of Notre Dame

PROFESSOR Francis E. McMahon of Notre Dame University by no means represents the University.

True, he has a following, which is inferior in numbers — if one insists that numbers is a yardstick — to the following supporting Father O'Brien of the same university.

In that sense, he belies the chief tenet of Jewish majoritarian democracy which he supports — a democracy dedicated to war, force and destruction.

The professor, evidently, belongs to that genus of *homo sapiens* — occasionally referred to as the "absent-minded professor" — who is careful to tuck the pussycat in bed and put himself out for the night!

Decidedly, he has put himself out on the backyard fence to join those tomcats who holler and yap for murder and mayhem as long as another professor's son has the murdering done to him. There he joins the choral cacophony which backgrounds for the screaming quartet of Frankfurter, Knox, Churchill and Roosevelt.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, to date, has preferred to suffer from his raucous ravings as long as the A.M.P. (Absent-Minded Professor) confined himself to noisy vocal disturbances. But, tomcat-like, he began to claw. And, thus, this comment.

The incident referred to was noted in the *Chicago Tribune* (July 20) when Professor McMahon referred to Father Coughlin's actions as not only uncharitable but criminal.

Possibly, the A.M.P. chanced to read an editorial in the *Florida Catholic* — diocesan mouthpiece of young Bishop Hurley — which intimated that Father Coughlin, like every cardinal, bishop and priest, enjoyed freedom of speech.

Perhaps the A.M.P. has suffered a hypodermic injection from *B'nai B'rith* magazine, which tends to produce an illusion in the minds of its readers that the big, bad Father Coughlin is on the air or wielding a mighty editorial pen trying to keep America free for Americans.

His type always fight straw men and refuses to face facts. However, if the silence of the Royal Oak priest is disturbing to these nocturnal tomcats, typified by Professor McMahon, what would they do were Father Coughlin permitted to enjoy freedom of speech as does he, Bishop Hurley, and Msgr. Ryan?

* * *

Mr. George H. Most, manufacturer of Dubuque, Iowa, incidentally, answers Professor McMahon. It is not the type of answer that Father Coughlin would give. But, in view of the Radio Priest's being muzzled, we submit it to our readers:

"Prof. Francis E. McMahon,
"University of Notre Dame,
"South Bend, Indiana.

"Dear Sir:

"After reading in the *Chicago Tribune* this morning that you referred to Father Coughlin's actions as not only uncharitable but criminal, I wired you as follows: 'Catholic world is ashamed of you and not Father Coughlin.'

"As a Catholic layman, your actions and words are thoroughly incomprehensible to me and I fear you are the source of much scandal to countless others. It is shocking that one who professes to be a servant of the Prince of Peace should not only transform himself into a war-monger but also revile a Catholic priest in a tone entirely devoid of charity, which the Gospel states is the greatest of virtues.

"Our Holy Father says, 'In war, all is lost,' yet you prattle about being ready for a shooting war.

"It is disgusting the way old and infirm members of this generation state *we* are ready for a shooting war. Do not volunteer for the young men of this country, who, the polls show, are 80 per cent against war.

"How can you square your attitude with the eminent American Cardinal? Do not follow the footsteps of Bishop Hurley, who was discredited in a few days.

"The *Witness,* organ of Archbishop Beckman of Dubuque, says: 'Bishop Hurley is a baby bishop — and talks like one.'

"America is on the road to ruin unless God intervenes and helps Father Coughlin, Lindbergh, Wheeler and Taft — the men you seek to crucify.

"Father Coughlin's principal fault is that his logic is usually ten years too advanced for some pygmy minds in high positions, who cannot match logic with logic, but resort to smear.

"I expect to pray diligently for you and Bishop Hurley, also Msgr. John A. Ryan and others of our faith that they may receive Divine Guidance to help America remain free, and not to join with Senator Pepper, Harold Ickes, Frank Knox, Henry Stimson, and the like, who are smearing our patriots.

"From 1776 to 1914 America became great by remaining aloof from Europe. Since 1914 we have had war, depressions, staggering debt and disunity. History will show whether George Washington was right, or whether Woodrow Wilson and our present day war-mongers can improve on the period from 1776 to 1914.

"Respectfully yours,

"GEORGE H. MOST."

* * *

There is no more beloved seat of learning in all America than Notre Dame University.

We are loath to describe the A.M.P. as a professor "of" Notre Dame. More properly, he is a professor "*from*" Notre Dame. Indeed, he has wandered far from the path of our Blessed Lady, the Queen of Peace!

Let Professor McMahon consider the statement made by Alexander J. Ruthven, President of the University of Michigan — the president of a secular, non-religious institution. Spoke he at a luncheon (July 23):

"Judging by the World War, we are going to take out of our colleges their . . . leaders in science and thought. We are going to deplete our faculties so that we must rely on second-rate teachers.

"Then, when the row is all over and upon the United States falls the principal responsibility for rehabilitating the world, our young leaders are out of touch. Their careers have been interrupted, too often ended, just when they are most needed.

"We must be honest with youth and not induce it seriously and patriotically to submit to draft for one year and, once it is in uniform, keep it longer by the subterfuge of the emergency clause."

* * *

Have such dark days come upon us that the leaders of pagan education are more outspoken for peace than are the leaders of Catholic education?

Professor McMahon is pardoned for calumniating Father Coughlin as a criminal. He is not pardoned for advocating all out aid for Britain with its logical sequence of American flesh and blood sacrificed on foreign soil in appeasement to the great god of mammon.

A Letter to Bishop Hurley

IN THE *San Francisco Leader,* the following letter, addressed to Bishop Hurley, appeared in its issue of July 9th:

"Rt. Rev. Joseph P. Hurley,
"St. Augustine, Fla.

"Rt. Rev. and Dear Sir:

"Never throughout the course of time or under any circumstances is one evil counteracted by another; two wrongs never make a right. It is true that the world no longer recognizes constituted governments or democracies as the style. And you propose that America follow the style. Such is your prerogative.

"You said that America should join the rest of the world; that the Congress or people of the United States have not the ability to decide their country's affairs. This, in itself, for the purpose of argument, may be granted.

"Your argument is based upon the fact that one-man rule in other parts of the world has made this necessary. In other words, you accept totalitarian rule and you say that America today is imperiled by one-man government, and your remedy for America's danger is a one-man government.

"Dear Rev. Sir, your remedy for the evil is the evil itself. One question I should like to ask you. How then is totalitarianism an evil in the rest of the world and a God-given boon here?

"You say that a one-man rule is not forbidden by our *Constitution;* that the President should be given the power to declare war. In this I beg to differ. The *Constitution,* in case you don't know it, in Art. I, Sec. 8, Par. 11, specifically states that *'Congress shall have the power to declare war.'* And these words have been construed to mean exactly what they say throughout our history by the Supreme Court, which is recognized as the interpreter of our *Constitution;* or was at least recognized until a short time ago.

"You say further, that you have no confidence in anyone who in a time of crisis seeks to undermine legitimate authority who speaks disparagingly or distrustfully of our highest magistrates. You state the people have not access to facts. Has our magistrate access to facts when he says, 'I know only what I read in the papers'? From what source, My All Knowing, do you derive your knowledge? Is it by revelation?

"Had you lived in the Biblical times, you certainly would not have been a John the Baptist, since he upbraided his chief magistrate, and was it not of John that Christ spoke when He said 'Verily, I say unto you, Among them that are born o

(Continued on Page 15)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 14)

women there has not risen a greater than John the Baptist.'

"You, my dear sir, are a bishop of the Roman Catholic Church but your conduct hardly emulates that of St. Peter, the first Bishop of Rome, who gave his very life because he dared to question the authority of Nero, his chief magistrate.

"But you have said the style has changed, and perhaps since the days of the first bishop the style of Christianity has changed the same as styles in constitutions have changed. Assuming this to be the case, then, a St. Peter would today bow acquiescence to Joseph Stalin, since he is the chief magistrate of his country.

"How differently you speak from the learned Archbishop Beckman who asked the President to reject the advice of warmongers, or from the fearless Rev. Charles Coughlin, men who are doing everything in their power to keep our country from uniting with the bloody forces of atheism!

"A.P."

Let Them Reconcile Their Views

AN EDITORIAL in a recent edition of the *Catholic World* discusses the conflicting viewpoints of pro-war Catholics and His Holiness.

We quote the editorial, by Father Gillis, entitled, *"A Bishop Protests."*

"Objection has been taken in certain Catholic quarters — or at least in one quarter — to quoting from the Pope at this time certain phrases or sentences written before the present crisis reached its peak.

"For example that excellent papal epigram, *'Nothing is lost by peace, but everything may be lost by war.'* Bishop Hurley of St. Augustine, in his radio address over the Columbia network on July 6th, said with much vigor and warmth: 'It is typical of the unscrupulous methods of American apologists for Naziism that this phrase of the Pope, uttered before the war began, is now being used by them to dissuade America from steps necessary to safeguard her national interest.'

"The Bishop's objection," continues the *Catholic World*, "is apparently valid. To use a text out of its time, and apart from its purpose is as dishonest as to lift a text from its context. As it happens, however, I am not acquainted with any apologists for Naziism who quote the Pope and I cannot so much as guess who those Americans may be who try to dissuade their country from attending to her own legitimate defense. Any such treacherous persons would deserve reprobation on two counts, treason and trickery.

"But there are many perfectly good Americans and reverential Catholics who have quoted the Pope's apothegm *"Nothing is lost by peace, but everything may be lost by war,'* thinking it to be of universal application, good at any time, and in all circumstances.

"For myself," continues Father Gillis, "I have mentally placed it side by side with its twin truism, 'No one wins a war; the loser loses and the winner loses.'

"Norman Angell made that epigram after the first World War, or perhaps before it. Before or after, it is all the same. It applies to the other war and to this war, in fact to every war.

"And so, some have thought, does the Pope's apothegm on peace and war. It was delivered on August 24, 1939, only seven days before the outbreak of the present war. The Holy Father knew this war to be imminent, knew also what kind of war it would be, and made his utterance in view of the circumstances. Because it is a true epigram it applies then and now and always. It has been used in good faith by many who are neither pro-Nazi nor anti-American.

* * *

"Be that as it may there is a quotation from the Holy Father's Encyclical on *'Atheistic Communism'* which without question applies in the present instance. That Encyclical is a systematic exposition of the principles and practices of Communism, and a denunciation of it unparalleled in any other document issued since Marxism began.

"Indeed, in my judgment, as a philipic the Encyclical, *'Divini Redemptoris,'* is unsurpassed since Demosthenes. 'For the first time in history,' says the Holy Father, 'we are witnessing a struggle, cold-blooded in purpose and mapped out to the least detail, between man and "all that is called God" . . . Bolshevistic and atheistic Communism is a system full of errors and sophisms. It is in opposition both to reason and to Divine Revelation. It subverts the social order, because it means the destruction of its foundations; because it ignores the true origin and purpose of the State; because it denies the rights, dignity and liberty of human personality.'

"The Pope condemns the Communists who 'change their tactics,' 'entice multitudes by trickery,' 'practice hypocrisy,' 'stir up class warfare which causes rivers of blood to flow.' 'Communism,' he continues, 'robs man of liberty, despoils human personality, removes all restraint from human passion . . . denies that man has natural rights, or that human life has any sacred or spiritual value, and spreads its doctrine by means of a propaganda so truly diabolical that the world has perhaps never seen its like before.'

"So the Holy Father continues, until towards the end he says, *'Communism is intrinsically wrong and no one who would save Christian civilization may collaborate with it in any undertaking whatsoever.'*

"That sentence, which I have italicized, seems to me a universal proposition. I cannot imagine that the sweeping phrase 'any undertaking whatsoever' allows any exception in favor of military collaboration. In fact, I should think that collaboration in war would be even more reprehensible than economic or social collaboration.

"I respectfully suggest that those fifteen Catholics who managed to get a statement printed in the newspapers to the effect that they saw no objection to collaborating with Russia in the battle for Christian civilization should send to the same papers the Pope's statement interdicting 'collaboration in any undertaking whatsoever' with Communism.

"Then let them append a reconciliation of their own view with that of the Pope. It would make interesting reading.

"The position taken in these editorials over the entire period since Naziism and Communism came into the foreground is that they are both essentially vicious and that there is little to choose between them," the *Catholic World* concludes. "Collaboration with Communism against Naziism is as indefensible as collaboration with Naziism against Communism."

Archbishop Beckman Attacked

DR. WILLIAM M. AGAR, representing *"Fight for Freedom Inc.,"* attacked Archbishop Beckman as a result of His Excellency's recent broadcast.

While the attack was channelled through a telegram to the Iowa prelate, nevertheless Dr. Agar saw fit to publicize it.

Dr. Agar is identified with that small but tumultuous group of subversive Catholics determined to sacrifice America for the welfare of Great Britain, and Catholicism for the advancement of Soviet Russia.

Incorporated in Agar's telegram to the Archbishop were these paragraphs:

"The tone of your address was calculated to arouse the passions and inflame the minds of Americans against their President and his appointees in the executive branch of their Government.

"The many Catholics in Fight for Freedom deplore your talk. We cannot remain silent and permit our fellow-Americans to think we concur in your stand."

Dr. Agar's telegram pointed out that Archbishop Beckman was a guest speaker on Father Coughlin's radio hour, that he attacked President Roosevelt's stand on *Neutrality* and the repeal of the *Arms Embargo,* that *he presented Father Coughlin at an Iowa meeting and praised him highly.*

"In spite of this, we were shocked to find echoes of the Christian Front-Social Justice patter in a public address by a bishop," the telegram added.

This *"Fight for Freedom, Inc."* group is a war-mongering organization supported by persons and funds not altogether identified with the advancement of Christianity.

Recollect that this same type of "Catholic" as represented by Dr. Agar supported the Loyalist cause in Spain and the Blum cause in France.

Mexico and Democracy

MEXICO has refused to protest against President Roosevelt's black-listing of 1,800 South American firms and persons to do business in this country.

Mexico took this stand because she was opposed to the barbarism of Naziism.

Last week, Mexico also dealt a severe blow to democracy and freedom of religion when the State of Michoacan launched a campaign to enforce the goverment's educational laws.

Move by move, President Camacho is pursuing the anti-Catholic policies of his predecessors. Recently, he "nationalized" a church at Tampico.

And Mexico—communistic Mexico—is the dirty-faced little brother to the South with whom we can live in peace and comfort despite her aggressions against Catholics and freedom of conscience.

Before Mr. Roosevelt attempts to impose his four freedoms on Europe let him begin practicing on Mexico.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.

If It Were The Other Way

TO THE EDITOR:

May I ask you to publish this question:

"How would Jewish citizens feel if they were asked to pay a tax to the United States Government to support an alliance with Nazi Germany?"

The answer to this question may enable them to appreciate how I, as a Christian American, will feel if I am asked to pay taxes in order to support, or aid, anti-Christ Russia.

I think this question should be in large type so it will attract attention, because I think that it will stir up some much-needed thought and reflection on this business of meddling into foreign affairs which are certainly none of our concern.

Today's attack by Britain on Finland is shocking proof of the contention made in a previous letter to you that the word "democracy" is chameleon-like in its application. I am beginning to wonder if the word "democracy" is spelled right!

With an earnest prayer that the leaders of this country may turn away from their insane internationalism, and return to the sane patriotism of George Washington, I am sincerely

New York City. —**W.J.G.**

Let's Vote On It!

TO THE EDITOR:

Gen. Marshall asserts that this great country is in imminent peril. The President echoes this assertion. Yet, vagueness persists. The people are not told in positive terms about this so-called peril.

Factually, Congress likewise remains uninformed.

The subterfuge of secrecy is a common foil with the New Deal administration.

Are the people who own this country, who pay the taxes and the salaries of its officials, and who sacrifice and fight its wars, no longer to be told the facts?

Or, is the knowledge that 80 per cent of the people continue to insist on peace, a factor behind the secrecy?

Before God, in justice and human ethics, if this truly be a land of the free — why can we not have a referendum upon the most important of all life's issues — war or peace for America?

College Point, N. Y. —**M.J.K.**

Draft Promise Faulty

TO THE EDITOR:

When the promise of one-year service was made to the draftees, our Army and political brains knew that within a year that promise would be broken, either by an outright declaration of war (which did not come because the Administration knows that at the least 70 per cent of the people are against such action), or, because of arguments brought forth by the war *clique* to show that if the men were allowed their freedom after a single year of service, the Army would "disintegrate." In other words the New Deal slogan is, "the end justifies the means": good politics, maybe, but poor morality.

Philadelphia, Pa. —**A.G.**

God Is Left Out

TO THE EDITOR:

A world that states it can get along without God is soon left to its own wicked devices and evil end. When man tries to go his own way without the guidance of religion, nothing can save him.

Progress cannot be made by defying God. The collapse of civilization by ungodly principles has been caused by our deliberate rejection of Christian ideals.

When men get back to the Spirit of God, peace will reign over the earth — and not before then!

Rochester, N. Y. —**B.H.F.**

British Still Fighting Revolution

TO THE EDITOR:

So the war-mongering capitalists are parading under another banner now; I mean the "Fight for Freedom" Committee.

Take a look at its national sponsors; what a conglomeration of the rich and the reds!

Marshall Field now has another leftist magazine venture adrift called *Parade* to advertise war for our boys.

These are the "traitors" to American freedom — the money-mad war dancers.

They even go into the high schools for their dirty work and get young people to aid them who do not know what it is all about. They preach defense against Hitler and at the same time they are selling this country on the auction block for John Bull.

Recently a member of our parish was pictured in the *Pittsburgh Post Gazette* with guns gathered for the "Defense of British Homes"; another committee is aided by Fred C. McKee, the casket man, who worked hard to get the embargo lifted for Red Spain.

Rev. Charles Owens Rice recently took the air for aid to Britain and Russia.

I picked up the *Victorian* of April, 1940, and this item caught my eye: "The Work of Anti-Christ." When, and if, Communism gets a stranglehold in this country we may expect a duplication of all that happened in Russia.

I heard an eloquent speech by Archbishop Beckman of Dubuque, Iowa, on the air the other Sunday night. He is one real fighting American who is working to keep this country out of the terrible calamity that confronts us.

If the people would only realize what is happening to America they would throw out the British agents once and for all, and peace would soon be restored in this fair land of America.

The British have been planning for years — ever since 1776 — to regain control over us free people; they are jealous of us for our success with this Republic, and don't let anybody tell you different.

Col. Wedgwood told us in plain words what the British honestly think of us; we must believe him, because he was immediately called home after his grand speech.

Pittsburgh, Pa. —**Mrs. O.H.**

Is Cycle Inevitable?

TO THE EDITOR:

Your paper, SOCIAL JUSTICE, is perhaps the most enlightening medium on international and domestic affairs in this country. However, taking into consideration our probable participation in a foreign war abroad, I still believe our country's greatest danger lies within our own borders, in the form of civil war between our so-called Liberals and Nationalists.

I feel that most of the ills that we have fallen heir to are cyclic.

The great American cycle of 84 years goes unheeded by even our best educators. This cycle is upon us again and denotes for these United States another seven-year period of violent agitation with at least four of them covered with bloodshed and internal strife.

The past history of these 84-year cycles from the early beginnings of the American nation is clear for all to read. The periods and events are as follows:

1523 to 1530 — d'Allyon of Spain attempts to colonize America. The result is bloodshed and failure.

1607 to 1614 — The founding of Jamestown, 4 years of bloodshed, famine and disease.

1691 to 1698 — The French and Indian Wars on this continent, and the Witchcraft Horrors of Salem.

1775 to 1782—The "American Revolution."

1859 to 1866—The "American Civil War."

1942 to 1949 — Another 7 years of tribulation with 4 years out of these seven dedicated to bloodshed and internal strife.

New York City. —**C.A.B.**

It Does Not Make Sense, If You Are an American!

TO THE EDITOR:

The *State Journal* of Lincoln, Nebraska (July 17) quoted the *Sidney Telegram* stating that a field of 230 acres of wheat estimated at 6,900 bushels was being plowed under because of A.A.A. regulations. The farmer, Robert Garrard, was refused the use of this wheat for feed, even if cut for hay.

Protest meetings by wheat farmers are being held all over this country because farmers are prohibited by their Government from using "excess quota wheat" for the feeding of livestock, or even their chickens.

On July 15, 1941, the *Chicago Tribune* contained the following item: "Because Lend Lease purchases of eggs for Britain have raised prices in this country to the highest levels in years, dealers will import large quantities from Argentina, they disclosed yesterday. Up until 1930, Argentina was our best egg market.

"C. E. Borden, of the Chicago office of the Great Western Distributors, New York produce house, announced that his company would purchase 60,000 dozen eggs a week starting July 21.

"Borden said that his company had contracted for 360,000 dozen — 30 railroad carloads — and is considering importing eggs from Argentina on a much larger scale if the shipments are consistently good in quality."

With all our surplus wheat we are importing huge quantities of wheat from Canada. This is shipped to Eastern states where it is ground for poultry feed in competition with our own wheat.

In addition to this, we are importing hogs from Canada which are slaughtered in the United States and then *given* to Great Britain under the Lease Lend program.

The whole thing doesn't make sense!

We buy wheat and hogs from Canada, eggs from South America, and then give them away!

Our own farmers are penalized and prohibited from feeding their own stock with wheat raised on their own farm, when at the same time he is urged to raise more meats and eggs. Have we gone crazy?

Isn't it about time that we use a little common sense in managing our domestic affairs by placing the interests of our farmers and our people first, rather than be meddling in other nations' affairs all over the world?

Lincoln, Nebraska. —**H.C.L.**

Predictions Accurate

TO THE EDITOR:

Day by day, world events are proving the uncanny accuracy of Father Coughlin's predictions made years ago.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is bringing things out every week to point this out to all who will read.

More power to you!

Cleveland, Ohio. —**A.Z.B.**

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

Refugee's Jewels For Sale

TO THE EDITOR:

During my vacation a couple of weeks ago, I spent several days in Atlantic City where I strolled a good deal of the time along the Boardwalk admiring the beautiful window displays of the stores and jewelers' shops.

In the show windows of several high class jewelers and auction shops, located on the North side of Kentucky avenue, I saw numerous pieces of very expensive jewelry (one bracelet of diamonds in particular was priced at $185,000) which, according to the window cards, was "left by refugees to be sold."

All in all I observed about 25 such items including rings, bracelets, necklaces, etc., which varied in price from about $25,000 upwards and all were presumably left by European refugees according to the tags attached, to be sold by the jewelers to the public.

Naturally, I wondered how much of this stuff is being brought into this country by refugees and whether it is all being honestly declared to the customs agents and the proper duty paid thereon. If what I saw is fairly indicative of the amount and value of the goods brought into the country, in only one city, it must run into an enormous amount and value for the whole country.

I sincerely hope that the revenue due to Uncle Sam on all this wealth has been honestly reported and paid. The Treasury Department headed by Mr. Morgenthau controls the customs service and is, no doubt, vigilant in all these matters.

Expensive jewelry and cameras, lenses, etc., must constitute a large part of the assets of refugees arriving in this country, since the lands from which they are coming have instituted severe economic measures designed to keep their currencies and wealth at home.

— Vacationist.

Atlantic City, N. J.

Irish Farmer's Son

TO THE EDITOR:

It is indeed a pleasure to subscribe to SOCIAL JUSTICE. It has merited my confidence in every way and so has its founder, Father Coughlin, whom I always admire as a great patriotic American. The truth of his predictions are coming to light in the present trend of affairs in this country! He told us of lifting the embargo and of Lease-Lend and others.

It seems to me our country is on the way to a downfall unless some miracle happens, and if it goes down, the people who represent us in Congress and the Administration will be totally to blame. They are more interested in Britain than in their own country.

The latest meddling outrage of their majesties' representatives is the proposal to seize bases in my native Ireland, totally against the wishes of the Irish.

My father had a farm there, and I know what a struggle those people had to exist, trying to pay exorbitant rents to England's planted landlords or leeches who in former times seized and robbed the estates they had from our forefathers. We can never forget facts of history.

Of course his majesties' representatives see to it that facts of history are kept from Americans when they always need them to pay their war bills and fight their wars. It is a pity that we have too few Father Coughlins, Lindberghs, Wheelers, Nyes, and Walshes in America.

New York, N. Y. **— P.C.**

North Hollywood 'Tea Party'

TO THE EDITOR:

On July 22 I had the privilege to attend the first meeting of the North Hollywood chapter of the America First Committee.

As the meeting opened, the hall was three-fourths filled, about 150 persons or more, which I consider very good for the first meeting, way out in the "sticks" as North Hollywood is often referred to.

The guest speaker was Laura Ingalls, the noted aviatrix. She is of New England stock and one hundred per cent American.

While the meeting was in progress the speaker was almost drowned out by the terrific din of blaring auto horns emanating from outside. Said din was caused by a motor-cade (including a large float) of young, red-blooded Americans of high school age and older.

The float depicted a "Boston Tea Party." Some of the young America Firsters were done up in the regalia of Indians, war paint, feathers, etc.

Some of the fair members were resplendant in Colonial days attire. They marched into the meeting hall carrying placards, some of which bore the inscription "No A.E.F." "No meddling." "No muddling in Europe." "Bundle Halifax Back to Britain" and so on. The large float was also plastered with arresting placards.

Enroute to the meeting, the motor-cade passed right through the very heart of "British Hollywood" and the leader of the group informed the audience that their motor-cade with their blaring horns and placards, really did attract attention and occasional lusty cheers.

The America First Committee is making history and if we are to save America for the Americans, we just have to organize, fight, give our time and energy for the battle of independence.

It is a case of 1776 all over again!

Awake America — the danger is by far more serious than you realize.

— F.J.S.

North Hollywood, Calif.

Young Jewish Writer Never Heard of Any World Plot

TO THE EDITOR:

In all of my 30-odd years, I have never heard of any fantastic plan to make this a "Jewish world." Brothers, sisters, parents, cousins, uncles, aunts, etc., that I claim relation to are typical folks, just wanting to live in peace without hurting any of those who made possible a better life for them in America — then they would have led in any other country. Not only is their desire not to hurt, but to appreciate and help those who have helped them.

While I speak as an individual only, I feel that we Jews, who are a minority, have no desire to antagonize the majority. Why should we?

We have the smart, the clever, as well as the dumb and stupid, in every race and nationality; there are good and bad, sincere and crooked, in all ranks.

The faulty individual should not be held up as one who *represents* his race — be he Jew or Gentile.

I speak again as an individual, but being a Jew, I can safely say that we Jews know full well that so long as the churches are open, so will the synagogues be open also.

When Christian churches and wherever Christian houses of prayer are destroyed so, too, will the synagogues be destroyed.

Some of us are born Jews — others Moslems, Christians, etc. — we live and then die. All of us. There are other trials and tribulations in life to face and solve than suspecting the other fellow of foolish plots to destroy a whole recognized world, all because some of us are born into different faiths.

If "A.J.H." is ready to take a friend's word that a certain Jew said, "Ah, you want this to be a Christian world!" and if A.J.H. wishes to relate the story in a letter to SOCIAL JUSTICE, why that is his right and privilege — but in the name of common sense why word it by saying, "Think of it! a minority people," etc.?

One lone, unidentified Jew, spoke and he assumes the role of representing all Jews!

In denying that this particular Jewish man represents all us Jews, my statements in this letter should not be construed as pretending that I do. I am only trying to bring out that in all of my Jewish circles, among all of my many relatives, never have I heard about this "cat in the bag" that A.J.H. refers to.

Also, I am bound to feel that my relatives and friends of Jewish faith represent the true ideology of Judaism more accurately than the Jewish man in the A.J.H. letter, who may or may not have said, "Ah, you want this to be a Christian world."

Holyoke, Massachusetts. **— J.K.**

A Phony War

TO THE EDITOR:

At least 75 per cent of the American people are in complete accord with the work being done by the America First Committee, headed by Gen. R. E. Wood, and sponsoring Senator Wheeler and Charles E. Lindbergh.

No amount of smear propaganda can or will succeed in convincing the American people that the phony internationalist war concerns us.

Avenal, California. **— M.J.C.**

Sent His Money Back!

TO THE EDITOR:

In contradiction to the letters by Mrs. R.A.B. and Gen. R. E. Wood in respect to the America First Committee as printed on page 16 of your July 28th issue, I wish to inform you that I have been a supporter and member of the America First Committee almost since the time it was founded.

Early in the Summer I received a plea from the America First Committee for some financial contribution and on July 11th I mailed a money order with a personal note telling the Committee that I was enclosing another small contribution to the good cause. In addition I mentioned that the money sender is a Protestant and a subscriber to SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine and I asked if the money was not acceptable under these conditions they should return same.

Believe it or not on July 28th, the enclosed letter from Chicago was received:

"Dear Mr. D.:

"Enclosed herewith please find postoffice money order forwarded with your letter of July 11th. As you have intimated, this money is unacceptable to us inasmuch as you are a follower of Father Coughlin.

"Sincerely yours,

"AMERICA FIRST COMMITTEE.

"Stuart H. Otis."

You may make use of this information as you see fit.

River Edge, N. Y. **— C.D.**

Who's Behind Who?

TO THE EDITOR:

Mrs. E.J.F. would like to know who is "behind" the America First Committee. This, of course, requires a little mental maneuvering. In my opinion the Committee, from Gen. Wood on down are truly sincere. But, let them look for shadows other than their own — for they are there with their whole bag of tricks!

The *Communist Manifesto,* in essence, advises "when we are suspected or likely to be caught *we will join in the search and pretend to be one of them.*"

Rather, the question should be: who is behind the Communists? For one answer I would advise you to read any issue of the *American Hebrew* Magazine.

— Constant Reader.

Crystal Lake, Illinois.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Anti-Semitism in Industry

THE communistic *Daily Worker* in its Sunday edition (July 21) inferentially tells a story of the rise of anti-Semitism in America.

While we do not agree with the entire content of the article from the *Daily Worker*, we reprint it to show the trend of thought upon which some Jews have launched.

Here it is:

"A blood-dipped Swastika may as well float openly over a great portion of American industry as far as the job chances of Jewish-American workers are concerned.

"Repugnant as it is to all notions of democracy and freedom, the foul and frightful Nazi principle of 'down with the Jews' is still the policy of numberless huge American firms and corporations, many of them now engaged on the defense program which has as its aim the destruction of Hitlerism.

"It is not a paradox, it is the logic of reaction that the Jewish people who, as a people, have the greatest reasons for detesting Hitler and all his works and would be an enormous asset to American industry and production — and whose unflinching loyalty and devotion to the principles of American democracy are unquestioned — are deliberately barred from taking their rightful place in the defense program.

"Many of these same corporations which are so vigilant against the Jews are strangely lax in the detection of fifth columnists and in more than one firm engaged in defense production there have recently been discovered Nazi spies and saboteurs.

"Last week in the spacious chambers of a sub-committee of the House Judiciary Committee in Washington a mild-mannered and soft-spoken Rabbi presented factual evidence of widespread and growing anti-Semitism in this country which is, in effect, nothing more than a monstrous economic *pogrom*.

"The Rabbi was Moses Miller, president of the *Jewish People's Committee*, and he spoke in behalf of 30.000 members. His testimony was offered in support of the Marcantonio anti-discrimination bill which would 'prohibit discrimination against persons employed or seeking employment on government contracts because of race, color or creed' under penalty of fines ranging up to $50,000.

"Rabbi Miller told the Congressmen that 'economic discrimination against the Jewish people, as well as other minority groups, runs like a dark thread through the fabric of American life' and he warned them that the entire defense effort against Hitlerism is being nullified by tolerance of Hitler-like measures at home.

" 'Employment agencies,' he said, 'in every part of the country continue to advertise for "Christians only." '

"He even quoted Government agencies which themselves had noted the alarming rise and increase in discrimination against Jews.

"He listed for the Congressmen some of the leading firms guilty of discrimination, among them being the Carl Norden Co., New York City; Western Electric; Federal Shipyards and Drydocks, Kearney, N. J.; American Telephone and Telegraph; General Electric; Aluminum Co. of America; Diehl Machine Co.; duPont; Boeing Aircraft; Colt Patent Firearms Manufacturing Co.; Niagara Hudson Power Co.; and Eastman Kodak.

"Some of these firms, Rabbi Miller said, sought to deny discriminatory policies but refused to make public the number or proportion of Jewish workers on their payrolls.

Others he cited as having strong Nazi tie-ups, one of these being the Diehl Machine Co., large portions of whose stock are in the hands of a nephew of Hjalmar Schacht, and another being the Aluminum Co., now under Federal anti-trust indictment for its agreement with the German I. G. Farben-Industrie.

"The Norden Co., makers of bombsights, employs Nazi sympathizers, and only recently one of its employes was arrested as a Nazi spy. The company completely bars Jews from employment.

* * *

"Other companies listed by Rabbi Miller which make a practice of specifying 'Christians' in their requests to the New York Employment Service for workers are:

"Brewster Aircraft; Bethlehem Steel; Packard Motor Co.; Ford Instrument Co.; Sperry Gyroscope; Mack Trucking International; and the Cutler-Hammer Co.

"Also cited were long lists of firms which bar Jews in a subtle or concealed manner, but no less effectively.

"Rabbi Miller presented for the consideration of the Congressmen the results of interviews with directors of technical defense training schools, one of whom was quoted:

" 'Jewish engineers don't stand a chance at the Western Electric Co. in Kearney, N. J. We have difficulty in placing Jewish professional men in a number of fields. For example, in naval architecture it is almost hopeless for a Jew to find employment. Similarly it is difficult for Jewish engineers to find employment in such firms as duPont and the Aluminum Co. of America.'

"Others were quoted similarly.

"In defense training classes sponsored by the Government, Rabbi Miller charged, discrimination is also rife, despite a specific Congressional prohibition of it accompanying an appropriation of $5,500,000 to establish such classes.

" 'Jews and Negroes,' Rabbi Miller said, 'find it difficult to get training because the school boards declare that they consider it wasteful to train men who are not likely to get jobs. They use as the basis of their action the order in the service Bulletin on Defense Training issued by the United States Office of Education on December 13, 1940, which says: "The final test of a selection program is employment of the trainees on a job." '

"The full testimony of Rabbi Miller before the sub-committee will be issued this week as a pamphlet by the Jewish People's Committee, which is pressing its drive in behalf of the Marcantonio Bill with appeals for all interested persons to write to their Congressmen; for organizations to send delegations to visit their Congressmen; and for all organizations to adopt resolutions to be sent to their Congressmen.

" 'The Marcantonio Bill,' said Rabbi Miller in concluding his testimony, 'is the pre-requisite to any sincere effort on the part of government to abolish discrimination in defense industry. Passage of the Marcantonio Bill will give sincerity to the contention that we are fighting to destroy abroad what we cannot and will not tolerate at home.' "

Meanwhile, America is waiting for responsible Jewish organizations to petition Mr. Roosevelt to live up to his pre-election pledges of keeping America out of war and keeping American sons from fighting on foreign soil.

Shall Russians Pray to God—or Stalin?

"Russian Orthodox Church Prays for Red Russia!"

Thus boast the new Soviet Russian apologists in selling a "moral responsibility" bill of goods to the American public for all-out aid to godless Communism.

But a group of loyal American citizens of Russian descent, headed by Archbishop Vitaly of the Russian Orthodox Church, thinks otherwise.

Here is part of a protest letter they sent President Roosevelt a few weeks ago, which only a few papers in America dared print:

"We feel that the center of gravity of the situation rests not so much in military operations, though these are developing on a gigantic scale, as in the cataclysmic conflict of two fundamental principles — the Christian principles of spiritual tolerance and social and political liberty on the one hand, and the anti-Christian principles of narrow intolerance and political oppression on the other.

"In this historical conflict, the Russian people stand before a tragic dilemma. Either to take advantage of the German attack against Stalin for their liberation from the Soviet yoke, or by bowing to Stalin, to give up forever the hope of shaking off the shackles of Communist slavery.

"It is with grave concern and profound regret that this committee, representing loyal Americans of Russian descent, is viewing a well-marked tendency on the part of certain officials of the Administration to extend America's material assistance, not to Russia, not to the Russian people, but to the Red dictatorship personified by Stalin."

The committee also points out that Russia today is "dominated by a vicious *clique* of international plotters" with world revolution its objective.

* * *

Working in direct co-operation with the "international plotters" of Moscow are the financial and Communist "international plotters" in the United States.

At a Madison Square Garden meeting, sponsored by the American Council on Soviet Relations, these unholy internationalists joined hands two weeks ago to applaud the great Democrat Stalin.

Corliss Lamont, capitalist turncoat to Communism and vice-chairman of the Council on Soviet Relations, presided.

In the audience, for the first time since his son espoused Communism, sat Thomas A. Lamont, international banker and a Morgan partner.

At the end of young Lamont's speech in which he declared that the fall of Russia would be "the evilest day that ever fell upon mankind," father Lamont timidly unfolded his hands and clapped.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

BIG CONTEST CLOSES AUGUST 28!

"JUBILEE" RACE BECOMES SPIRITED AS END APPROACHES

It's all over but the shouting with the great "JUBILEE" circulation race of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine — and the real shouting of triumph and victory will probably be done by those contestants who work the hardest and organize their friends best during these final days.

ON TUESDAY, AUGUST 26, THE CONTEST WILL OFFICIALLY CLOSE—EXCEPT FOR TWO ADDITIONAL DAYS FOR THE MAILING IN OF SUBSCRIPTIONS AND RETURNS.

MIDNIGHT, THURSDAY, AUGUST 28, IS THE FINAL HOUR AT WHICH CONTESTANTS MAY MAIL THEIR RETURNS IN TIME TO HAVE THEM QUALIFY FOR PRIZES.

BUT—REMEMBER—

THE LETTER BEARING YOUR FINAL SUBSCRIPTIONS AND CASH TURN-IN MUST BE POSTMARKED AT OR BEFORE MIDNIGHT, THURSDAY, AUGUST 28, IN ORDER TO BE IN TIME!

KEEP THIS IN MIND. DON'T TAKE CHANCES THAT MAIL CLERKS MIGHT DELAY A FEW MINUTES IN POST-MARKING YOUR LETTER.

IN ORDER TO BE SAFE AND SURE, YOU SHOULD MAIL YOUR FINAL SUBS AND CASH, CHECKS OR MONEY ORDERS A GOOD FEW HOURS BEFORE MIDNIGHT, THURSDAY, AUGUST 28.

These two last days are given ALL contestants so that they will have time to make out their Remittance Reports and Subscription Stubs after the rush of the last two days of the Contest.

The last days and hours of this great race will be marked with tremendous activity on the part of all active contestants. It is realized by all that only one or two yearly subscriptions could make the difference between the Grand Prize and a lesser cash award.

Business is being rounded up in all sections of the country. Contestants are out closing "deals" they have had on the fire for weeks — clinching promises their friends made to help them by subscribing to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

Every contestant is fully aware of the fact that all of us are striving to make this race a genuine tribute to Father Coughlin, founder of this magazine, during this year of his Jubilee.

During these final days, remember the prizes, as who doesn't? Keep in mind that there are EIGHT BIG CASH AWARDS ranging downwards from $2,500 to $300. Then, there are 100 additional Grand Prizes totalling $1,000. And, of course, a commission of ten per cent will be paid to those contestants who fall below the ranks of the 108 Grand Prizes. No contestants can win one of the Grand Prizes and also receive a commission of ten per cent. Therefore, the thing for you to do is to land in the money by dint of hard slugging between now and the tape.

It is altogether likely that a very large amount of business will be turned in during the last days of the great race. Of course, the new yearly and two-yearly subscriptions call for a credit of 20,000 Extra Votes each.

So, just keep in your mind the last hour —Midnight, Thursday, August 28.

And remember, work always wins pretty much in proportion to the amount of it that's done.

And — good luck!

Schedule of Regular Votes

ON PREPAID SUBSCRIPTIONS TO

Social Justice Magazine

Subscriptions	Votes
6 months ($1.50)	4,000
1 year ($3.00)	10,000
2 years ($6.00)	30,000

The above are Regular Votes and will maintain the same values on both new and renewed subscriptions throughout the Contest.

BONUS VOTES

On each NEW subscription (2 years or 1 year), the following bonuses will be allowed:

30,000 EXTRA Votes from July 1 to July 28.

25,000 EXTRA Votes from July 29 to August 11.

20,000 EXTRA Votes from August 12 to August 26.

Extra or Bonus Votes are issued and credited only after conclusion of the Contest.

FINAL WORDS OF WARNING

Final instructions to all Contestants are herewith given, so that all will have a clear understanding of the method by which final returns are handled. The purpose of this method is a feeling of assurance in the mind of every person in the Contest that he or she is being treated with the utmost fairness.

At the end of a competition such as this, strict secrecy of the standing of Contestants is very desirable. No person is to know just how much cash a Contestant has turned in during the last days.

In order to attain this desirable objective, final reports will be handled under what is known as a SECRET REPORT SYSTEM. The final returns of Contestants bearing postmarks of August 24, 25, 26, 27 and 28 will be retained in their envelopes UNOPENED until Monday, September 1.

They will then be placed in the hands of the judges, who will open the final reports, count and tabulate the business contained therein, check all Votes and compute returns of the entire Contest for winners.

Remember — two days are given to wind up your business and mail your reports. These two days are Wednesday, August 27 and Thursday, August 28. The envelope containing your final returns MUST be postmarked on or before Midnight of Thursday, August 28.

It is estimated not more than two weeks will be required to determine Contest winners.

As a final caution, be sure that your final returns are properly made out. All subscriptions must be accompanied by the proper remittance in order to earn Contest Votes.

Be sure that all names and addresses are legibly written. Mail your stubs, Remittance Reports and remittances in an envelope or envelopes with your return address plainly written in the upper left corner.

Contestants with insufficient receipt books on hand may turn in subscribers' names and addresses on plain sheets of paper accompanied by the proper remittance.

THESE MUST SOON GO TO WINNERS

FIRST GRAND PRIZE	$ 2,500
Second Grand Prize	1,500
Third Grand Prize	1,250
Fourth Grand Prize	750
Fifth Grand Prize	650
Sixth Grand Prize	550
Seventh Grand Prize	400
Eighth Grand Prize	300
100 Additional Grand Prizes	1,000
27 Special Cash Prizes	1,100
TOTAL CASH PRIZES	$10,000

MORE NEW CONTESTANTS—

Contestant	Vote Credit
ILLINOIS	
Margaret Keller, Chicago	35,000
MASSACHUSETTS	
John Matvitek, Peabody	35,000
Wm. P. O'Sullivan, Dedham	35,000
Margaret M. Rooney, Brighton	35,000
MICHIGAN	
Joseph Kirsten, Detroit	35,000
MINNESOTA	
Theo. J. Reimer, Maple Plain	35,000
MISSOURI	
Geo. Gebhardt, Le May	35,000
K. Randolt, St. Louis	35,000

Contestant	Vote Credit
NEW YORK	
Miss Teresa Ampolini, Floral Park	35,000
Mrs. Cora Belts, Fulton	35,000
Patrick Conroy, New York City	35,000
Thomas Greene, Bronx	35,000
OHIO	
Joseph Forma, Ashtabula	35,000
PENNSYLVANIA	
Martin Griffin, Philadelphia	35,000
Mrs. Eva Horn, Lebanon	35,000
Michael C. Monaghan, Philadelphia	5,000
John Radovan, McKees Rocks	35,000
WISCONSIN	
Minnie Brasch, Milwaukee	9,000

Address All Contest Mail to:

'Contest Manager,' *Social Justice*, Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY
Social Justice
Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Mark Twain Up-to-Date

IN HIS BOOK, "*The Mysterious Stranger*," Mark Twain said of war:

"There has never been a just one, never an honorable one — on the part of the instigator of war. I can see a million years ahead, and this rule will never change in so many as half a dozen instances. The loud little handful, as usual, will shout for the war. The pulpit will, warily and cautiously, object at first, the great big bulk of the nation will rub its sleepy eyes and try to make out why there should be a war and will say earnestly and indignantly, 'It is unjust and dishonorable, and there is no necessity for it.'

"Then the handful will shout louder. A few fair men on the other side will argue and reason against the war with speech and pen, and at first will have a hearing and be applauded; but it will not last long; those others will outshout them, and presently the anti-war audiences will thin out and lose popularity. Before long you will see this curious thing: the speakers stoned from the platform and free speech strangled by hordes of furious men who in their secret hearts are still at one with those stoned speakers, as earlier, but do not dare to say so.

"And now the whole nation, pulpit and all, will take up the war cry, and shout itself hoarse and mob any honest man who ventures to open his mouth; and presently such mouths will cease to open. Next the statesmen will invent cheap lies putting the blame upon the nation that is attacked, and every man will be glad of those conscience-soothing falsities, and will diligently study them, and refuse to examine any refutations of them; and thus he will by and by convince himself that the war is just and will thank God for the better sleep he enjoys after this process of grotesque self-deceptions."

* * *

Self-deceptions are the order of the day. Getting into war is like getting into sin. It is thrilling. It is romantic. It is passionate. It is all-devouring.

Then comes the sin. When the lamp of passion is burnt out, then come reaction and remorse.

The trouble in the past is that the poor people have forgiven and forgotten those who brought about the world's wars.

They were so interested in finding a job, or a crust of bread, they forgot to discover the identity of those who made jobs scarce as well as bread.

* * *

Meanwhile, we are deceived, temporarily, with high wages for the working people.

We are deceived, temporarily, with high lies and low speeches pronounced by slimy-tongued politicians.

Meanwhile, the Father Coughlins, the Burton Wheelers and Charles Lindberghs are figuratively stoned from platforms, while free speech is strangled in pulpit and on rostrum.

Meanwhile, Germany oppresses a Niemoeller and militates against Catholicism and Protestantism.

Meanwhile, Red Russia is sanctified as she enters the holy circles of the Allies, the democracies, who fight against the aggressors, including Finland.

If They Get Us Into This War, U. S. Will Have to Fight Alone

REV JAMES LEUKERT
215 NORTH AVE
P O BOX 208
342 MT CLEMENS MICH 2-2

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED